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### PART 1: Review Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reviewer's comment</strong></th>
<th><strong>Author's comment</strong> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Compulsory REVISION comments** | 1. Referring to lines 92 and 93, the “physicochemical parameters” should be identified specifically and describe their analysis in the manuscript. These parameters must be elaborated and explained in the manuscript and how they are measured.  
2. Referring to Table 1 between lines 114 and 115, the samples sites (A, B, C, D) must be identified. No where in the manuscript these site are identified and characterized in which their properties explained. What are the identities of the soils studied, etc.?  
3. The cause of the suspected contaminants. toxicities are not explained fairly!  
4. There is no overall and comprehensive background information (about the sites, soil type, cause of the possible contaminant and toxicity level, etc.). these information is lacking in this manuscript.  
5. Line 17 – line 18, the writers talk about environmental pollution facing humanity but there is no environmental assessment regarding the site of study and the rational for the study. This manuscript is lacking comprehensive details on all of the items listed in 1-5. |
| **Minor REVISION comments** | Examining some of the parameters listed in table 1, it seems that the sites are not able to sustain any biological activities. Provide recommendation for further study to mitigate the problems on the sites. |
| **Optional/General comments** | Although the manuscript is written well and in good English, it lacks basic information on all sections of the manuscript. |
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