

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

*Short Communication*

**Response of Dipteran larvae exposed to acute doses of three different heavy metals and its impact on aquatic environment.**

**ABSTRACT**

Industrial effluents contain heavy metals, which are the main source of water pollution due to their bioaccumulation and magnification in different trophic level. The aim of this work is to estimate LC<sub>50</sub> of Pb, Cd and Hg in *Chironomus striatopennis* which is a primary consumer of aquatic ecosystem. Fourth instars were collected from breeding aquarium reared under laboratory conditions and exposed for 96 hours to different doses of Pb, Cd and Hg for static bioassay of LC<sub>50</sub>. Ten fourth instar larvae were placed in 100 ml beaker with 50 ml of each test solution. Each concentration consists of five trials. A control was also maintained wherein organisms were exposed to distilled water. Larvae were not fed during the toxicity tests. All beakers were free from tube forming materials. Data were subjected to probit analysis. Result showed that sensitivity of larvae was Hg> Cd >Pb and Chi square for Heterogeneity were also found significant in all the three metals. *C. striatopennis* showed noticeable response in LC<sub>50</sub> study and sensitive to low doses of heavy metals. Several secondary consumers have preferred this larva as their food. So unplanned industrialization may increase the level of heavy metals in the aquatic ecosystem which will accumulate

**Comment [OEA1]:** Specify the organism. Dipteran is too wide.

**Comment [OEA2]:** You did not effect of these metals on aquatic environment but on aquatic insect to determine its impact on along food chain/web.

**Comment [OEA3]:** Full name before symbol of the metals

**Comment [OEA4]:** Metals NOT LC<sub>50</sub>

**Comment [OEA5]:** No concentration. The concentration of the 50ml test solution was not stated. How many concentrations/? What are the concentrations?

**Comment [OEA6]:** Data on what? Mortality/toxicity?

**Comment [OEA7]:** To what?

**Comment [OEA8]:** What are the P-values

**Comment [OEA9]:** Response of what? You studied toxicity of metal solutions to the insect.

**Comment [OEA10]:** You initially reported concentration in bioassay, now in results you are mentioning dose. Concentration differs from dose pls.

**Comment [OEA11]:** No data to justify your assertion or contribution to knowledge. **NO RESULT.**

23 24 slowly but definitely in different trophic level and at the same time unusual death of  
these 25 larvae may indirectly change the equilibrium of the aquatic ecosystem.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

26 Key Words: LC<sub>50</sub>, Mercury, Lead, Cadmium, *Chironomus*

Comment [OEA12]: This cannot stay in isolation. It is either Chironomid or Chiromus striatapennis.

27

## 28 INTRODUCTION

29 Industrialization means a change in the technology to produce goods and service as well as  
30 an engine of growth in developing countries [1,2]. It is known that there exist darkness  
under

31 the lamp, ~~industrialisation~~ industrialization also leaving behind serious environmental issues  
like water

32 pollution[3]. Both fresh and marine water are polluting everyday by untreated or  
improperly

33 treated industrial wastewater. Over 80% of the world's wastewater and over 95% in some

34 least developed countries is released to the environment without treatment [4]. It is  
estimated

35 that in India 13,500 million litres per day industrial wastewater is generated from urban  
cities

36 and discharging nearby aquatic bodies with or without treating the waste water [5].  
Industrial

37 wastes from different industries, such as mining operations, metal plating, radiator

38 manufacturing, tanneries, smelting and alloy industries, storage battery industries are the

39 significant sources of heavy metals [6]. Among the heavy metals, Cd, Pb and Hg, are

40 considered as most hazardous water pollutants [7,8]. Due to their high solubility in water,

41 heavy metals could be absorbed by living organisms once they enter the aquatic food chain

42 [9]. Benthic primary consumer like chironomid larvae (Order Diptera, Family  
Chironomidae)

43 are continuously exposed to such environment, and may contribute to the accumulation and

44 bio transfer of these heavy metals to upper trophic level. ~~and~~ They are thus considered as good biological

45 indicator of aquatic environment degradation [10,11,12]. *Chironomus striatopennis* was

46 found highly sensitive when exposed to different doses of Arsenic salt [13]. LC<sub>50</sub> is a

47 statistical parameter which illustrates a complete picture of mortality in a population and also

48 organism's tolerance to a particular xenobiotic [14]. The objective of the study is to

49 determine the LC<sub>50</sub> of Pb, Cd and Hg in *Chironomus C. striatopennis* to find how this

50 macroorganism is responding to these heavy metals. This in turn provide information

51 regarding the level of these metals in the industrial effluents which will not vulnerable

for

52 this primary consumer.

### 53 MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 54 Collection of Chironomid larvae

55 Fourth instar larvae of *Chironomous striatopennis* were collected from fresh water pond

56 ~~locating~~ located at Kanchrapara (22\_56018.664800N, 88\_28010.034400E) district North Twenty

57 ~~four~~ Parganas, West Bengal, India and placed in aerated plastic bags and transported to the

58 laboratory. Larvae of the chironomid reared under laboratory conditions by using the breeding

59 aquarium which was filled to a depth of approximately 20 cm with pond water and given fish

60 flakes for food [15]. This was the source of all test organisms. Atomic absorption

61 spectrophotometry was done to confirm that larvae were not contaminated with the Lead

62 (Pb), Mercury (Hg) and Cadmium (Cd).

Comment [OEA13]: Insert authority

Comment [OEA14]: How do you mean?

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 11.5 pt

63 **Toxicity Test of Heavy metals**

64 For contamination, stock of  $1\text{ mg l}^{-1}$  concentration was prepared initially with Cadmium  
 65 acetate (SRL, 99% purity), Lead acetate (SRL, 99% purity) and Mercuric chloride (SRL,  
 66 98% purity) in double distilled water and kept for twenty four hours. Test solution of  
 67 different concentrations were prepared from that stock, through a series of dilution. Initially a  
 68 series of tests were conducted in concentrations ranged between  $0.0005\text{ mg l}^{-1}$  and  $1\text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ,

69 where test organisms [have](#) been exposed for 96 hours. Finally for Cd, concentrations of  
 $0.001\text{ mg l}^{-1}$

70  $1\text{ (d1)}$ ,  $0.003\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d2)}$ ,  $0.007\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d3)}$ ,  $0.015\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d4)}$ ,  $0.03\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d5)}$  and  $0.062\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d6)}$ ;

71 for Hg,  $0.0005\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d1)}$ ,  $0.001\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d2)}$ ,  $0.003\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d3)}$ ,  $0.007\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d4)}$ ,  $0.015\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d5)}$

72 and  $0.031\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d6)}$ , and for Pb,  $0.003\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d1)}$ ,  $0.007\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d2)}$ ,  $0.015\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d3)}$ ,  $0.031$

$\text{mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d4)}$ ,  $0.062\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d5)}$  and  $0.125\text{ mg l}^{-1}\text{ (d6)}$  were considered for the experiment. Ten  
 fourth

74 instar larvae were placed in 100 ml beaker with 50 ml of each test solution. Each

75 concentration consists of five trials. A control was also maintained wherein organisms were

76 exposed to distilled water. Larvae were not fed during the toxicity test. All beakers were free

77 [from](#) tube forming materials. The criterion for death is immobility and/or lack of reaction to

~~77~~ [78](#) mechanical stimulus. After 96 hours, recorded data were subjected to probit  
 analyses [16] by

79 using Probit Programme Version 1.5.

80 **RESULTS**

81  $\text{LC}_{50}$  and  $\text{LC}_{90}$  values and 95% confidence limit for Hg, Cd and Pb in the fourth instar of

82 *Chironomus striatopennis* are presented in Table 1. The result revealed that sensitivity of

83 larvae was Hg>Cd>Pb. Chi-square for Heterogeneity were also found significant in all three


84 metals in compare to tabulated value of Chi-square (7.815, P<0.05). Percentage of mortality

85 of larvae exposed to three heavy metals is presented in Fig.1.

86 **Table 1: LC<sub>50</sub> Confidence Limit of *C. striatapennis***

	Mercury	Cadmium	Lead
Exposer Period	96 Hour	96 Hour	96 Hour
LC <sub>50</sub> mg l <sup>-1</sup>	0.001	0.003	0.007
LC <sub>90</sub> mg l <sup>-1</sup>	0.010	0.012	0.104
95% Confidence	Lower Limit: 0.000	Lower Limit: 0.000	Lower Limit: 0.000
Limit for LC <sub>50</sub>	Upper Limit: 0.003	Upper Limit: 0.005	Upper Limit: 0.019

87  
88



89  
90 **Fig. 1: Concentration of Hg, Cd, Pb and percentage mortality in *C. striatapennis***

91  
92 **DISCUSSION**

93 Mercury, a prevalent toxicant is available in the environment due to anthropogenic activity as

94 well as natural sources. Present study revealed that Chironomids were more susceptible to Hg

**Comment [OEA15]:** How many species? You researched on *C. striatapennis* only. This study is not enough for all Chironomids.

9495 ~~95~~ than the other two heavy metals and observed LC<sub>50</sub> exposed to Hg was 0.001 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, which was

**Comment [OEA16]:** How do you mean?

96 same as human permissible limit (0.001mg l<sup>-1</sup>)of BIS[17]and less than acceptable limit in

97 industrial effluent(0.01mg l<sup>-1</sup>) [18], but in industrial effluent this metal may be available in more

98 high concentration[19].Mercury reduce ~~the~~ growth and ~~decrease the~~ locomotion activity

99 which lead to increase the probability of mortality rate of the larvae [20]. Moreover, increase

**Comment [OEA17]:** The sentences are incomprehensible.

100 in Hg concentration decreases the survival rate of this larva as was observed in *Eriocheir*  
101 *sinensis*[21].

102 The study revealed that *C. striatopennis* was more susceptible to Cd than Pb and LC<sub>50</sub> of

103 these three heavy metals showed that lead is least toxic ~~for to~~ this insect. Toxic effect of

104 cadmium reduced the uptake of essential metals, specifically Calcium (Ca) ion channel due to

105 their similarity of size and charge which can disrupt the normal physiological actions of Ca

106 ion. Though *Chironomus* contains Metallothionein (MT), a metal binding protein (MBP),

107 having high cysteine content with numerous thiol groups, which may help cellular tolerance

108 to Cd through high affinity sequestration of the toxic metal by MT [22]. In spite of that,

**Comment [OEA18]:** Too long; recast.

109 ~~sever high~~ amount of cadmium may increase the lethality ~~of to~~ this organism. Pb was found less toxic

110 but it also have similar kind of effect to prevent or imitate the action of Ca ion of Calcium-

111 dependent or allied process [23]. Moreover, Pb accumulation by this larvae is higher than

112 other heavy metal due to the presence of MBP which may cause bio- magnification of this

~~112~~113 ~~113~~ heavy metal in the food chain[24].

114 Our study revealed that the ~~concentration lethal concentrations~~ of these metals (LC<sub>50</sub>) ~~used~~ obtained in this experiment,

115 though below the human permissible limit but not suitable for the survival of the larvae of

116 this insect. The maximum acceptable limit for Pb and Cd in industrial effluents are 0.1 mg/l  
 117 and 0.01 mg/l respectively [25]. LC<sub>50</sub> was found less than such acceptable limit. Whereas,

~~118~~

117 118 LC<sub>90</sub> for this insect was recorded for Pb (0.10 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and Cd (0.012 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) which were  
 similar

119 to the acceptable limit in the industrial effluents.

120 *Chironomus* have antioxidant defense system, contains antioxidant enzymes like superoxide

121 dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase. Level of these enzymes decreased with the

122 increase in stress situation like increasing concentration of heavy metals in aquatic

123 environment and larvae died due to toxicity of the metals[26]. Chironomids are  
 macrobenthos

124 and are the primary consumer of the aquatic food chain[27]. Due to unscrupulous industrial

125 development in the developing countries, there are increased in the industrial effluents

126 containing heavy metals like Hg, Cd and Pb which ultimately reach the fresh water sources  
 of

127 those areas. The bioaccumulation of these metals in aquatic organisms is dangerous not  
 only

128 for their own survival and biology, but also for humans because of the possible passage of  
 129 contaminant through the food chain [28].

130 Though there is no noticeable changes ~~are~~ found in higher vertebrates like fish in those

131 aquatic ecosystem, ~~but~~ our LC<sub>50</sub> results indicated that *C. striatopennis* was highly sensitive  
 to

~~131 132~~ 132 low doses of heavy metals. Several secondary consumers consider chironomids as there  
 food.

133 So heavy metal pollution may indirectly distort~~ing the~~ equilibrium of the aquatic ecosystem.

134 This study provides information for industries to release effluents after proper treatment so

Comment [OEA19]: Please recast to make it meaningful.

Comment [OEA20]: Recast.

135 that level of these heavy metals ~~should~~ will be below the effective level. That is essential  
for the

136 sustainable development and to stop the biodiversity loss of the ecosystem.

### 137 CONCLUSION

138 LC<sub>50</sub> assay revealed that larvae of *Chironomus striatopennis* was more sensitive to Hg than

139 Cd and Pb respectively. It was also observed that LC<sub>50</sub> values were less than standard

~~139~~140~~140~~ permissible limit of these heavy metals. As this larvae is preferred by different  
secondary

141 consumers, so unplanned industrialization may increase the level of heavy metals in the

142 aquatic ecosystem which will accumulate slowly but definitely in different trophic levels

and

~~142~~143~~143~~ at the same time unusual death of these larvae may indirectly change ~~the~~ equilibrium of

the

144 aquatic ecosystem.

### 145 REFERENCE

146 1. Bartoskova M, Dobsikova R, Stancova V, Zivna D, Blahova J, Marsalek P, Zelnickova L,

147 Bartos M, Di Tocco FC, Faggio C. Evaluation of Ibuprofen toxicity for zebrafish 148  
(*Danio rerio*) targeting on selected biomarkers of oxidative stress. *Neuro. Endocrino.*

149 *Lett.* 2013; 34: 102-108.

150

151 2. Szirmai A. Industrialisation as an engine of growth in developing countries, 1950– 152  
2005. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics.* 2012; 23:406–420.

153

154 3. Capillo G, Silvestro S, Sanfilippo M, Fiorino E, Giangrosso G, Ferrantelli V, Vazzana I,

155 Faggio C. Assessment of electrolytes and metals profile of the Faro Lake (Capo Peloro

156 Lagoon Sicily, Italy) and its impact on *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Chem. Biodiver.* 2018;

157

158 4. UNWWDR, United Nations World Water Development Report. United Nations

159 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris, France, 2017.

160

161 5. Dhingra P, Singh Y, Kumar M, Nagar H, Singh K, Meena LN. Study on Physico -

162 Chemical Parameters of Waste Water Effluents from Industrial areas of Jaipur, Rajasthan,  
India. *Int. J. Innov. Sci. Eng. Technol.* 2015; 2: 874-876.

164

- 165 6.Sorme L, Lagerkvist R. Sources of heavy metals in urban wastewater in Stockholm.Sci. 166  
Total. Environ. 2002; 298:131-45.
- 167 7.Al-Shannag M, Al-Qodah Z, Bani-Melhem K, Qtaishat M R, Alkasrawi M, Heavy metal 168  
ions removal from metal plating wastewater using electrocoagulation: Kinetic study and 169  
process performance.Chem. Eng. J.2015;260: 749-756.  
170
- 171 8.Mubarak N, Sahu J,Abdullah E,Jayakumar N. Removal of heavy metals from wastewater 172  
using carbon nanotubes.Sep. Purif. Rev.2014;43: 311-338.  
173
- 174 9.Dhir B. Potential of biological materials for removing heavy metals from wastewater. 175  
Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.2014; 21: 1614-1627.  
176
- 177 10.Halpern M, Gasith A, Bresler VM, Broza M. The Protective Nature of Chironomusluridus  
178 Larval Tubes Against Copper Sulfate.J. Insect. Sci.**2002**; 2: 2-5.  
179
- 180 11.Lagrana CC, Apodaca DC and David CPC. Chironomids as Biological Indicators of  
181 MetalContamination in Aquatic Environment.Int. J. Environ. Science and Development.  
182 2011; 2:306-310.  
183
- 184 12.Nath S, Podder R, Modak BK. Seasonal Trend of Body Protein and Growth of 185  
Chironomid Larvae. Proc. Zool. Soc.2017; 70: 88-91.  
186
- 187 13.PodderR, Nath S, Modak B.K.Static Acute Bioassay on the *Chironomusstriatapennis*, 188  
exposed to arsenic solution.J. Ecobiol.2017;34: 205-212.  
189
- 190 14. ErnestH. A Textbook of Modern Toxicology, 3rded.USA:A. John Wiley & Sons,  
Inc.;  
191 1932.  
192
- 193 15.MaierKJ, Kosalwat P, KnightA.W.Culture of *Chironomusdecorus*(Diptera: 194  
Chironomidae) and the effect of temperature on its life history.Environ. Entom. 1990; 19:  
195 1681- 1688.  
196
- 197 16. FinneyDT.Probit Analysis.London :Cambridge University Press; 1971.  
198
- 199 17. Bureau of Indian Standards 'Indian Standards Drinking Water-Specification (Second 200  
Revision), IS 10500: 2012.  
201
- 202 18. EPR, The Environmental (Protection) Rules, Schedule-VI, Rule 3A,1986.  
203
- 204 19. SinghRD, JurelSK, TripathiS, AgrawalKK, KumariR. Mercury and Other  
Biomedical  
205 Waste Management Practices among Dental Practitioners in India.Biomed. Res. Int.  
206 2014;2014:1-6.  
207

- 208 20. Azevedo-PereiraHM, Soares AM. Effects of mercury on growth, emergence, and 209  
behavior of *Chironomus riparius* Meigen (Diptera: Chironomidae). Arch. Environ. Contam.  
210 Toxicol. 2010;59: 216-224.  
211
- 212 21. ZhaoY, XinhuaW, YanwenQ, BinghuiZ. Mercury (Hg<sup>2+</sup>) effect on enzyme activities and  
213 hepatopancreas histostructures of juvenile Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheirsinensis*. Chinese. 214  
J. Oceanol. Limnol. 2010;28:427-434.  
215
- 216 22. RoesijadiG. Metallothioneins in Metal Regulation and Toxicity in Aquatic  
Animals. Aquat. 217 Toxicol. 1992; 22:81-114.
- 218 23. ZalupsRK, KoropatnickDJ. Molecular Biology and Toxicology of Metals. London: Taylor  
219 and Francis; 2000.  
220
- 221 24. Grosell M, Gerdes RM, BrixKV. Chronic Toxicity of Lead to Three Freshwater 222  
Invertebrates *Brachionus calyciflorus*, *Chironomus tentans* and *Lymnaea stagnalis*. Environ. 223  
Toxicol. Chem. 2006; 25: 97-104.
- 224 25. RameshP, Damodhram T, Determination of heavy metals in industrial waste waters of 225  
Tirupati region, Andhra Pradesh. IJSR. NET. 2016; 5: 2452-2455.  
226
- 227 26. DatkhileKD, Mukhopadhyaya R, Dongre TK, Nath BB. Increased level of superoxide 228  
dismutase (SOD) activity in larvae of *Chironomus ramosus* (Diptera: Chironomidae) 229 subjected  
to ionizing radiation. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 2009;  
230 149: 500-506.  
231
- 232 27. PodderR, Nath S. Impact of aquatic physicochemical parameters on the Diversity and 233  
body protein of Chironomids. Proceedings of International Conference on Health 234 Environment  
& Industrial Biotechnology ICHEIB (Biosangam 2013). McGraw Hill 235 Education (India)  
Private Limited, New Delhi: 2013; 214-219.  
236
- 237 28. FaggioC, Pagano M, Alampi R, Vazzana I, Felice MR. Cytotoxicity, haemolymphatic 238  
parameters, and oxidative stress following exposure to sub-lethal concentrations of 239  
quaternium-15 in *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Aquat. Toxicol. 2016; 180: 258-265.