

1 **Assesment of phenology and morphological diversity of 3 species of Asteraceae:**
2 ***Anacyclus clavatus*, *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum parthenium***

3 Kaouther MECHERGUI¹, Soumaya KHALDI*², Wahbi Jaouadi¹

4

5 **1.** National Institute of Research in Rural Engineering, Water and Forests of Tunis, B.P N°10
6 - 2080, Ariana, Tunis, Tunisia.

7 **2.** Laboratory of Biodiversity, Biotechnology and Climatic Changes, Faculty of Sciences of
8 Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, 2092, El Manar II, Tunis, Tunisia.

9 ***Corresponding author:** Soumaya KHALDI, **Email:** khaldi.soumaya@yahoo.fr,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 **Aim:** 3 species of Asteraceae: *Anacyclus clavatus*, *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum*
24 *parthenium* that have a wide range of uses in medicine and in industry were characterized by inter-
25 specific variations and phenological activities.

26 **Study Design:** Morphological characterization of these 3 species using 18 quantitative traits and
27 phenology study: vegetative period, flowering and fruiting time and seed formation for two consecutive
28 years.

29 **Place and Duration of Study:** Experimental plot at the Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Tunisia- 2009-
30 2010.

31 **Methodology:** Measurements of the 18 morphological characters were performed on 3 samples of
32 *Anacyclus clavatus*, *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum parthenium* grown in the Faculty of
33 Sciences of Tunis, for each species, we have studied 10 individuals. Different phenological stages:
34 Vegetative period, Flowering and Fruiting of each species are studied.

35 **Results:** The phenological study show that the 3 species studied have distinct phenologies. The
36 longest phenological cycle is observed for *Leucanthemum parthenium*. Results of morphology study
37 showed significant differences to highly significant for the majority of the traits studied using variance
38 analysis. The comparison of means reveals that *Anacyclus clavatus* and *Chamaemelum fuscatum*
39 form a single group for most of the traits measured, while *Leucanthemum parthenium* is clearly
40 distinct from these two species. In addition, the principal component analysis confirms the results of
41 the variance analysis and the comparison of means.

42 **Conclusion:** The results of the phenological cycle's follow-up show that the 3 species studied have
43 distinct phenologies. The longest phenological cycle is observed for *Leucanthemum parthenium*. The
44 morphological study reveals that *Anacyclus clavatus* and *Chamaemelum fuscatum* form a single
45 group while *Leucanthemum parthenium* is clearly distinct from these two species.

46 **Keywords:** *Anacyclus clavatus*; *Chamaemelum fuscatum*; *Leucanthemum parthenium*;
47 morphological; phenology.

48

49 1. Introduction

50 Phenological study is important in plant management and combating afforestation, honey analysis,
51 floral biology, estimation of reproductivity and regeneration [1]. It is important also in understanding
52 species interrelations and their interaction with the environment. Variations in phenophases among
53 individuals of different species have been linked to environmental perturbations [2]. A clear
54 understanding of phenological behavior on time of anthesis, time and duration of stigma receptivity,
55 fertilization, mode of pollination, seed development is necessary for breeding programs to obtain
56 better traits [3]. Thus plant phenological study has great significance because it not only provides
57 knowledge about the plant growth pattern but it also provides the idea on the effect of environment
58 and selective pressure on flowering and fruiting behavior [4].

59 Evaluation and characterization through morphological parameters of different crop germplasm is
60 therefore so much important for all plant breeders [5]. Therefore, it is important to make proper
61 strategies for the collection and evaluation of germplasm sources which are locally used in different
62 regions of the world and save them from being vanished [6]. To have a variety of better traits of any
63 crop we need information's about its genetic diversity [7]. Thus, characterization and estimation of
64 genetic diversity is an important step for the competent and successful maintenance and utilization of
65 different crop germplasm [8].

66 Genetic diversity is an inherited variation among and between populations, created, activated and
67 maintained by evolution [9]. Morphological traits provide a simple way of measuring genetic diversity
68 while studying genotype performance under normal growing conditions, but are influenced by
69 environmental factors ([10]; [11]). Plants have the potential to response to the changed environments
70 by changing their morphology and there for, the intra-specific variation in plant characteristics is
71 usually regarded as the adaptive mechanism to different environments [12].

72 The Asteraceae is one of the largest families, comprising 250.000 species. It is known for its wide
73 range of uses not only in medicine but also some plants are grown as ornamental plants such as
74 chamomile (*Leucanthemum parthenium*), others can provide different products: natural rubber,
75 colorants, insecticides and spices [13].

76 *A. clavatus* (*Anacyclus clavatus*), belonging to the Asteraceae family, is an herbaceous, annual and
77 spontaneous plant that is found almost everywhere in the Mediterranean region [14]. It's 20 to 50 cm
78 tall, hairy, green or whitish-green, with an upright or ascending stem, woolly and rowdy whose

79 branches are divorced. Leaves are bipinnatized, long to very narrow segments terminated by a small
80 mucron [15]. The convex or somewhat conical receptacle carries triangular bracts, ovals in the shape
81 of sequins. The inflorescences have two types of hermaphrodite flowers: the central flowers are
82 yellow-colored and the peripheral flowers are tongued, long and white. They flourished from March to
83 June [14].

84 The fruits in the form of achenes are small, very compressed cuneiform and of gray to beige color
85 [15]. The number of chromosomes of this species is $2n = 18$ [16]. It's a plant that grows on the edges
86 of fields and roads and in the wastelands of the entire Mediterranean coast [15]. In Tunisia, it's is
87 located in the north (Kroumirie, Oued Medjerda and Cap Bon), and in the center. The use of this
88 species is very limited. The aerial part of *A. clavatus* is used as a powder against stomach and belly
89 pains. It may also be one of the components of tobacco [17].

90 *C. fuscatum* (*Chamaemelum fuscatum*), belonging to the Asteraceae family, anthemidae tribe,
91 *Anthemis* genus and Ormenis sub-section, is an annual, herbaceous, glabrous 30 cm rowing,
92 ascending or upright. The leaves are bipinnatized. The heads are heterogeneous with yellow disc and
93 white ligules; their flowering is very early from November to April. The achene is very small, striated,
94 tetragonal and brown to yellow in colour. It's a very widespread plant on the banks of the sequias.

95 In Tunisia, *C. fuscatum* is found everywhere: in the north (Ain Drahim, Kef, etc.), in the center
96 (Sousse, Enfidha, etc.) and in the South (Gabes, etc.). Internationally, It's located in the western
97 Mediterranean basin of Spain, Greece and North Africa (Tunisia, Morocco and Algeria) [15]. The
98 number of chromosomes of this species is $2n = 18$ [18]. It's known for its anti-malaria property and its
99 protective effect against cell damage [19].

100 *L. parthenium* (*Leucanthemum parthenium*) belonging to the Asteraceae family too, the Anthemidae
101 tribe and the Asteroidea subfamily [20] and the *Leucanthemum* genus. This chamomile is a very
102 fragrant, perennial, rooted plant, with flowering stem erect without hair. The leaves are deeply divided
103 into 4 to 12 toothed segments. The internal tubular flowers are yellow and the ligulate external flowers
104 are white. They flourish from June to August in European conditions [14] and from July to October in
105 Iran [21]. The ripe fruits are brown, glandular and surmounted by a very short membranous crown.

106 *L. parthenium* is a medicinal plant used primarily for the prevention and reduction of migraine attacks
107 frequency, against stomach aches and malaria [22]. It's also known for its properties: antiseptic,
108 stomachic, antihysterical, vermifuge and insecticide. It's found spontaneously on the edges of roads
109 and often in the vicinity of dwellings and it can also be grown in gardens as an ornamental plant.
110 Internationally, *L. parthenium* is found almost all over Europe except the boreal zone and it is also
111 found in South-Western Asia [14].

112 However, there is little information on the morphological diversity and the phenology of *Anacyclus*
113 *clavatus*, *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum parthenium* and the potential of these species
114 in breeding programs. The aim of this study is to assess the variations in morphology and phenology
115 of *A. clavatus*, *C. fuscatum* and *L. parthenium*.

116 **2. Materials and methods**

117 **2.1. Plant material**

118 Three species of Asteraceae have been studied in this work: *Anacyclus clavatus*, *Chamaemelum*
119 *fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum parthenium*. These species were grown on an experimental plot at the
120 Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Tunisia under uncontrolled conditions. The seeds used originate from
121 Esbikha for *A. clavatus*, Haouz (Morocco) for *C. fuscatum* whereas the seeds of *L. parthenium* are
122 available in the laboratory of Genetics and Bioresources of the Faculty of Sciences of Tunis.

123 **2.2. Phenological characters**

124 Different phenological stages presented by the individuals of each species are defined:

125 **2.2.1. Vegetative period**

126 This stage spreads from the planting to the beginning of flowering. This is the phase of vegetative
127 growth.

128

129 **2.2.2. Flowering**

130 This is the period during which the flowers appear. The method of study is based essentially on the
131 visual observation of the appearance of the flowers.

132 **2.2.3. Fruiting**

133 This phase is characterized by the formation of the fruit. It begins with the formation of the first
134 seeds and ends with the general ripening of the seeds.

135 **2.3. Morphological traits**

136 In order to compare the various species studied, we describe the characters of their vegetative
137 part: The type of branching, the stem, the structure and color of the leaves, the structure and color of
138 the inflorescences and flowers, the structure and color of akene and the weight of 100 akene.

139 Measurements of the morphological characters were performed on three samples of *Anacyclus*
140 *clavatus*, *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum parthenium* grown in the Faculty of Sciences
141 of Tunis, for each species, we have studied 10 individuals. The 18 morphological quantitative traits
142 were assessed to characterize and estimate genetic diversity among the 3 species studied, the
143 quantitative traits measured were:

- 144 • Length of main axis in cm: LAP
- 145 • Average length of primary branches in cm: LMRP
- 146 • Average length of branches in cm: LMRS
- 147 • Average length of the tertiary branches in cm: LMRT
- 148 • Length of main root in cm: LRP
- 149 • Number of leaves per plant: NF
- 150 • Average diameter of the receptacle in cm: DMR
- 151 • Average number of leaflets per leaf: NLL
- 152 • Average length of the leaf rachis in cm: LMRF
- 153 • Number of inflorescence per plant: NI
- 154 • Number of primary branches: NRP
- 155 • Number of secondary branches: NRS
- 156 • Number of tertiary branches: NRT

- 157 • Average number of ligules per head: NML
- 158 • Number of ligules of the main axis head: NLCAP
- 159 • Length of the smallest branch in cm: LPR
- 160 • Length of the longest branch in cm: LLR
- 161 • Weight of 100 akene : $P_{100} A$

162 **2.4. Data analysis**

163 In order to evaluate morphological diversity and to establish relationships among studied species,
164 several statistical procedures were conducted. Quantitative data were computed using the software
165 XLSTAT version 2011 to perform analysis of variance, comparison of mean and to calculate the
166 Pearson correlation coefficient. Principal component analysis (PCA) was also done using the software
167 XLSTAT.

168 **3. Results and discussion**

169 **3.1. Phenology study**


170 **3.1.1. Vegetative period**

171 The vegetative period is characterized by a strictly herbaceous development and extends from
172 seedling to full bloom. We divided this phase into 2 stages:

173 **Stage of germination:** it is characterized by the appearance of the primordial leaves. In all three
174 species, the germination begins after 10 days.

175 **Stage of foliage:** Observation of the phenological spectrum reveals that this stage is the longest of
176 the phenological cycle. This stage, which is characterized by the growth of the stems in length and by
177 the formation of the leaves, lasts 6 months for *Chamaemelum fuscatum* (Figure 1) and 7 months for
178 *Anacyclus clavatus* (Figure 2). *Leucanthemum parthenium* is a perennial herb plant (Figure 3).


179



180

Fig.1. Phenological cycle of *Chamaemelum fuscatum*


181



182

Fig. 2. Phenological cycle of *Anacyclus clavatus*

183



184

Fig.3. Phenological cycle of *Leucanthemum parthenium*

185 **3.1.2. Flowering**

186 Flowering is considered from the formation of the first flower until most flowers have evolved this
187 period differs from one species to another: For *Chamaemelum fuscatum*, the flowering period ranges
188 from mid-February to the end of April (Figure 1). For *Anacyclus clavatus*, this period extends from the
189 end of March to mid-May (Figure 2). For *Leucanthemum parthenium*, the first flower blooms in early
190 June and full bloom is observed around mid-July (Figure 3).

191 Flowering appears to be highly favoured during the rainy season for *Anacyclus clavatus* and
192 *Chamaemelum fuscatum*, only *Leucanthemum parthenium* flowers during the dry season. We find
193 that the species *Chamaemelum fuscatum* characterized by a very early flowering date has a spread
194 flowering period. In addition, the species *Leucanthemum parthenium* characterized by a late flowering
195 date has a relatively short flowering stage and this to escape the water stress.

196 **3.1.3. Fruiting**

197 It is the formation of fruit in the form of akene. We have noticed that the appearance of the first
198 akene coincides with the peak of flowering, while the full fructification characterized for the 3 species
199 by the change of color flowers in tubes from yellow to light gray and the fall of the white ligules is
200 generally obtained after two weeks of the appearance of the first fruit (Figure 1, 2 and 3).

201 In fact, the study of [23] reveals that achenes of *A. clavatus* that germinated earlier produced plants
202 with higher biomass and higher reproductive effort. In addition, this work show that the phenology of
203 *Anacyclus clavatus* achene germination was the main factor affecting postdispersal life-history traits
204 related to competitive ability and reproductive success.

205 In addition, the study of [24] showed a high phenological diversity for the four phenological patterns
206 (buds, flowers, fruits and seeds) among fifteen leguminous plant species growing in Amritsar.

207 **3.2. Morphology study**

208 **3.2.1. Study of vegetative part**

209 It allows us to obtain, on the one hand, the resemblances, the objective of the typology of organs in
210 the sense of a unity of organization and, on the other hand, the differences : the possible variations

211 around the type : it's the comparative morphology. It seems useful to draw up a comparative table of
212 the morphological characteristics of the 3 species studied (Table 1).

213 **Table 1:** Main distinctive characteristics of 3 species studied.

Species	NR	Leafs	Flowers	Akenes	P ₁₀₀ A in mg
<i>Anacyclus clavatus</i>	T+5	Dark green Bipennatized	White ligulated flowers	Beige	45.23
<i>Chamaemelum fuscatum</i>	T+5	Green Bipennatized	Flowers in yellow tubes	Brown to yellow	26.6
<i>Leucanthemum parthenium</i>	T+3	Greenish-yellowish divided into wide segments.	White ligulated flowers	Brown	0.99

214
215 **NR:** number of ramifications, **P₁₀₀ A:** weight of 100 akenes.

216

217 **The branching**

218 Branching is the development of axillary buds in shoots. The number of branches is counted from
219 the principal axis of the stem noted « T ». Two types of branching are found: a branching of type (T +
220 5) for the species: *Anacyclus clavatus* and *Chamaemelum fuscatum* at the mature plant stage and a
221 Tertiary branching (T + 3) for the species *Leucanthemum parthenium*.

222 **The stem**

223 The main stem of *Anacyclus clavatus* and *Chamaemelum fuscatum* is often orthotropically
224 developed. Plagiotropic development is sometimes observed. While their ramification has a
225 plagiotropic development. The main stem and branch of *Leucanthemum parthenium* have a strictly
226 orthotropic development.

227 **Leaves**

228 The leaves of *Anacyclus clavatus* are alternate, long, of average length equal to 3.19, short
229 petiolated, bipennatized, acute at the tip and dark green at maturity. The leaves of *Chamaemelum*
230 *fuscatum* are alternate, long, their mean length equal to 2.19, petiolate, bipennatized, containing a
231 pointed end and green in color at maturity. The leaves of *Leucanthemum parthenium* are inserted in

232 an alternate phyllotaxy. They are long, of average length equal to 4.36, petiolate, divided into narrow
233 segments and yellowish-green at maturity.

234 **The inflorescences and the flowers**

235 The inflorescence of *Anacyclus clavatus*, *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and *Leucanthemum parthenium*
236 is a flower head containing two types of flowers : yellow flowers tubulated in the center and white
237 flowers ligated at the periphery. The flowers of the 3 species have the same floral biology, but show a
238 difference in floral structure. Indeed, the liguled flowers of *Chamaemelum fuscatum* are long and
239 beaked at the tip, while those of two other species are similar; they are short and more or less
240 rounded.

241 The diameter of the receptacle varies from one species to another. It is 0.65 ± 0.02 cm in
242 *Leucanthemum parthenium*, 0.67 ± 0.05 cm in *Chamaemelum fuscatum* and 1.56 ± 0.01 cm in
243 *Anacyclus clavatus*.

244 **Fruit**

245 The fruits differ from one species to another. The fruit of *Anacyclus clavatus* (Figure 4) is an indelible
246 akene, beige at maturity, of rectilinear shape to flattened cone. This akene is surrounded by two
247 membranous wings, clear, very thin, parchment and truncated at the apex. In the case of an akene
248 without these wings, the fruit appears mottled and has four longitudinal ribs.

249

250 The fruit of *Chamaemelum fuscatum* (Figure 5) is an indehiscent akene, very small, not marginated,
251 flattened ovoid, raised by 3 ribs weak and finely striated. Their color is brown to yellow at maturity.

252 The fruit of *Leucanthemum parthenium* (Figure 6) is an indehiscent akene, very small, brown at
253 maturity, glandular and surmounted by a very short membranous crown and crenate.

254 **Weight of 100 akenes**

255 The mean weight of 100 akenes of *A. clavatus* is 45.23 mg, varies from 37.7 to 53.8 mg. For *C.*
256 *fuscatum*, It is 26.63 mg and varies from 25.3 to 27.9 mg. An average weight of 9.96 mg was
257 calculated in *L. parthenium*; for this species, the range of variation is 8.5 to 10.9 mg.

258 **3.2.2. Analysis of morphological variability**

259 The evaluation of a collection of genetic resources is commonly based on the simultaneous
260 examination of many populations for various morphological characters. In this context, data on the
261 different morphological traits measured were:

- 262 • An analysis of variance with one classification criterion followed by a comparison of means.
- 263 • An estimate of the degrees of association between the different traits studied by the Pearson
- 264 correlation coefficient [25].
- 265 • A principal component analysis (PCA) based on the derivation of orthogonal variables [26].

266 **3.2.2.1. Analysis of variance**

267 The analysis of variance with one classification criterion (species effect) showed highly significant
 268 differences between the three species studied (Table 2) for the majority of the quantitative traits
 269 measured such as: Length of the longest branch (LLR), Length of the smallest branch (LPR), number
 270 of secondary branches (NRS), number of primary branches (NRP), mean leaf spine length (LMRF),
 271 average number of leaflets (NLL), mean diameter of the receptacle (DMR), length of the main root
 272 (LRP), mean length of the tertiary branch (LMRT), average length of secondary branch (LMRS),
 273 average length of primary branch (LMRP) and length of the main axis (LAP). This species effect is
 274 only significant for the number of leaves (NF). The difference between the three species is not
 275 significant for: The number of the principal axis head ligules (NLCAP), the average number of ligules
 276 per capitule (NML) and the number of tertiary branches (NRT). This result reflects a phenotypic
 277 heterogeneity between the 3 species studied, taking into account the measured parameters.

278 **Table 2:** Results of the variance analysis of the 17 morphological traits measured.

Characters	ddl	Average square	F _{obs}	Pr > F
LAP	2	3730,630	68,058	< 0,0001 HS
LMRP	2	982,641	26,382	< 0,0001 HS
LMRS	2	862,412	52,589	< 0,0001 HS
LMRT	2	360,894	26,359	< 0,0001 HS
LRP	2	40,961	11,73	0,000 HS
NF	2	338256,13	5,355	0,011 S
DMR	2	2,701	108,846	< 0,0001 HS
NLL	2	150,633	75,039	< 0,0001 HS
LMRF	2	11,796	36,769	< 0,0001 HS
NI	2	30601,433	2,983	0,068 NS
NRP	2	185,633	14,312	< 0,0001 HS
NRS	2	14770	15,244	< 0,0001 HS

NRT	2	4548,433	0,867	0,432	NS
NML	2	226,9	1,258	0,3	NS
NLCAP	2	0,7	1,086	0,352	NS
LPR	2	15,74	22,619	< 0,0001	HS
LLR	2	935,217	8,415	0,001	HS

279

280 **ddl** : degree of freedom; **F_{obs}** : F observed ; **HS**: highly significant; **S**: significant ($P < 0.05$) ; **NS**: no
281 significant ($P \geq 0.05$).

282

283 3.2.2.2. Comparison of means

284 According to the results obtained by analyzing the differences between the means with a 95%
285 confidence interval, we distinguish 5 types of groups (Table 3). Comparison of means shows that *A.*
286 *clavatus* and *C. fuscatum* are distinctly different from *L. parthenium* for: the length of the main axis
287 (LAP), the mean length of the secondary branch (LMRP), the average length of the tertiary branch
288 (LMRT), Root length (LR), number of leaves (NF), number of primary branches (NRP) and number of
289 secondary branches (NRP).

290 *A. clavatus* is distinguished from *L. parthenium* and *C. fuscatum* for the mean diameter of the
291 receptacle (DMR), the length of the smallest branch (LPR) and the length of the longest branch (LLR).
292 In fact, the three species did not differ significantly in the mean diameter of the receptacle (DMR), the
293 length of the smallest branch (LPR) and the length of the longest branch (LLR).

294 The parameters discriminating the three species are: the average length of the primary branch
295 (LMRP), the mean number of leaflets per leaf (NMf) and the average length of the spine (LMRF). For
296 the number of inflorescence per plant (NI), *Anacyclus clavatus* is not significantly different from
297 *Chamaemelum fuscatum* or *Leucanthemum parthenium*. Therefore, *Anacyclus clavatus* and
298 *Chamaemelum fuscatum* are much alike for more than half the morphological characters studied.
299 Most of the highest averages of the morphological traits are observed in *Anacyclus clavatus*, while the
300 majority of the lowest averages are observed in *Leucanthemum parthenium* (Table 3).

301

302

303

304

Traits	<i>Anacyclus clavatus</i>	<i>Chamaemelum fuscatum</i>	<i>Leucanthemum parthenium</i> ³⁰⁷
LAP	19,8 B	20,71 B	53,7 A 308
LMRS	20,6 A	17,91 A	3,39 B
LMRT	12,12 A	12,5 A	1,91 B 309
LR	8,1 B	7,72 B	11,4 A
NF	629,5 A	524,5 A	271,7 B 310
NRP	11,4 B	11,9 B	19,1 A
NRS	39,6 A	29,6 B	100,6 A 311
DMR	1,56 A	0,67 B	0,65 B
LPR	3,21 A	1,4 B	0,8 B 312
LLR	46,69 A	29,97 B	29,91 B
NRT	53,7 A	37,3 A	79,6 A 313
NML	11,7 A	19,9 A	11,6 A
NLACP	13,3 A	13,4 A	12,9A 314
LMRP	36,12 A	24,34 B	16,42 C
NMf	15,6 A	10,9 B	7,9 C 315
LMRF	4,36 A	3,19 B	2,19 C
NI	116,5 A and B	82,4 B	190,6 A 316

317 **3.2.2.3. The Matrix of correlation coefficients**

318 The matrix of correlation coefficients between the characters studied (Table 4) shows: A positive
319 correlation of the following traits: LMRP and LMRS correlate positively with each other and with all the
320 parameters of LMRT, NF, DMR, **NLL**, LPR and LLR ; The parameters DMR and NMF correlate
321 positively with each other and with LPR and LLR ; The character LAP is strongly correlated positively
322 with the parameters LR, LMRF, NI, NRP and NRT ; LMRT correlates positively with NF and **NLL** ; LR
323 correlates positively with the parameters **NLL**, LMRF, NI, NRP and NRS ; A positive correlation
324 between the parameters NF and **NLL**, NRT and LLR ; A highly significant positive correlation between
325 LMRF with NI, NRP and NRS ; NI correlates strongly with the parameters : NRP, NRS and NRT and
326 weakly with LLR ; NRP is strongly correlated with NRS and weakly correlated with the characters
327 NRT and LPR. A strong positive correlation is noted between NRS and NRT. A weak positive
328 correlation is noted between NRT and LLR.

329 The LAP has a highly significant negative correlation with the parameters (LMRS, LMRT, **NLL**) and
330 significant with the characters (LMRP, NF, DMR, LPR); LMRS and LMRT correlate negatively with
331 LR, LMRF, NRP and NRS; **NLL** is significant negatively correlated with NRP and NRS; LR correlates
332 positively and significantly only with **NLL**; DMR is significant negatively correlated only with NRP
333 (Table 4). It is important to note that NLCAP and NML are not correlated with any of the other
334 characters and that LMRP is the most positively correlated with the other traits (Table 4).


335 **3.2.2.4. Principal component analysis**

336 The graphical representation of the individuals dispersion of the 3 species studied reveals a more or
337 less homogeneous grouping of the species studied forming 3 clear groups (Figure 7).

338 Indeed, there is a slight overlap between the two groups: *Anacyclus clavatus* and *Chamaemelum*
339 *fuscatum*, whereas, *Leucanthemum parthenium* group seems very far from the others. These results
340 confirm those of the variance analysis which showed a strong resemblance between *Anacyclus*
341 *clavatus* and *Chamaemelum fuscatum*.

342 It is also observed that the individuals of the species *Chamaemelum fuscatum* occupy a rather
343 restricted part of the plane and are located entirely in the negative part of the two axes F1 and F2.
344 While, the individuals belonging to *Anacyclus clavatus* are scattered on the two axes (F1 and F2) with
345 a trend towards the positive values of the F1 axis (Figure 7).

346 Furthermore, individuals of *Leucanthemum parthenium* are the best dispersed on the 2 axes (F1 and
347 F2) with a tendency towards the negative values of F1 axis (Figure 7).



348

349 **Figure 7:** Dispersal of the 3 species individuals in the plan defined by the axis 1 and 2 of the ACP.

350

Table 4: Matrix of correlation coefficients of the different morphological parameters.

Traits	LAP	LMRP	LMRS	LMRT	LR	NF	DMR	NMF	LMRF	NI	NRP	NRS	NRT	NML	NLCA	LPR	LLR
LAP	1																
LMRP	-0.536	1															
LMRS	-0.810	0.842	1														
LMRT	-0.766	0.707	0.918	1													
LR	0.607	-0.270	-0.572	-0.544	1												
NF	-0.388	0.797	0.763	0.764	-0.281	1											
DMR	-0.451	0.679	0.496	0.315	-0.290	0.271	1										
NMF	-0.670	0.691	0.662	0.522	-0.511	0.423	0.798	1									
LMRF	0.790	-0.266	-0.629	-0.677	0.451	-0.269	-0.048	-0.283	1								
NI	0.532	0.220	-0.123	-0.143	0.417	0.377	-0.176	-0.195	0.523	1							
NRP	0.803	-0.291	-0.579	-0.594	0.575	-0.135	-0.410	-0.572	0.673	0.528	1						
NRS	0.826	-0.119	-0.494	-0.461	0.603	0.014	-0.314	-0.455	0.701	0.872	0.774	1					
NRT	0.410	0.329	-0.007	-0.004	0.303	0.462	-0.104	-0.080	0.373	0.946	0.473	0.798	1				
NML	-0.130	0.052	0.090	0.095	0.014	0.269	-0.160	-0.031	-0.136	0.025	0.171	-0.172	0.048	1			
NLCA	-0.179	0.282	0.282	0.325	-0.357	0.254	0.153	0.161	-0.267	0.006	-0.058	-0.016	0.075	-0.020	1		
LPR	-0.529	0.576	0.492	0.378	-0.385	0.289	0.762	0.787	-0.142	-0.224	-0.478	-0.387	-0.153	-0.114	0.247	1	
LLR	-0.184	0.868	0.597	0.465	-0.051	0.722	0.541	0.485	0.088	0.495	0.058	0.248	0.526	-0.094	0.194	0.396	1

359 In fact, the morphological study of [27] revealed that the analysis of variance showed variations
360 among the 33 accessions of *Ricinus communis* L. from Andaman and Nicobar Islands for all the 18
361 traits studied. This work reveals also that plant height exhibited high significant positive correlations
362 with the number of nodes on the main stem. In addition, The cluster analysis based on morphological
363 traits grouped the 33 accessions of *Ricinus communis* L. into two major clusters [27].

364 Furthermore, the study of [28] was found a significant amount of genetic variability for all the twenty
365 morphological parameters studied among safflower germplasm. In addition, this work reveals that
366 seed yield plant had high significant and positive correlation with branches plant, capitulum plant,
367 seeds capitulum and 100 seed weight. Furthermore, the hierarchical cluster analysis based on agro-
368 morphological parameters divided 121 accessions of safflower into 5 main clusters [28].

369 The morphological study of [29] in rice varieties showed high phenotypic variability ($P < 0.0001$) for
370 the characters: leaf length and leaf width, primary branching, maturity and grain thickness. In addition,
371 this work revealed a positive and strong correlation (0.77) between the height at maturity and leaf
372 length. The cluster analysis of this morphological study based on Euclidian distances between the 98
373 genotypes of Rice has allowed identifying three major clusters.

374 **4. Conclusion**

375 The results of the phenological study show that the 3 species studied have distinct phenologies. The
376 longest phenological cycle is observed for *Leucanthemum parthenium*. The variance analysis showed
377 significant differences to highly significant for the majority of the traits studied. The comparison of
378 means and the principal component analysis reveals that *Anacyclus clavatus* and *Chamaemelum*
379 *fuscatum* form a single group for most of the traits measured while *Leucanthemum parthenium* is
380 clearly distinct from these two species. Furthermore, this study allowed us to validate the
381 morphological and phenological approach as tools for selection of suitable genotypes.

382

383 **References**

- 384 1. Mulik NG, Bhosale LJ. Flowering phenology of the mangroves from the West Coast of
385 Maharashtra. J Bom Nat Hist Soc. 1989 ; 86 (3): 355 - 359.

- 386 2. Suresh HS, Sukumar R. Vegetative phenology of tropical montane forests in the Nilgiris, South
387 India. Jour Natio Sci Founda Srilan. 2011; vol 39 : 333 - 343.
- 388 3. Rout GR, Sahoo DP, Aparajita S. Studies on inter and intrapopulation variability of *Pongamia*
389 *pinnata*: a bioenergy legume tree. Crop Breed Appl Biotechnol. 2009 ; 9: 268 - 273.
- 390 4. Zhang G, Song Q, Yang D. Phenology of *Ficus racemosa* in Xishungbanna, South West China.
391 Biotropica. 2006 ; 38: 334 - 341.
- 392 5. Martins SR, Vences FJ, Miera LE, Barrosa MR, Carnide V. RAPD analysis of genetic
393 diversity among and within Portuguese landraces of common white bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Sci
394 Horti. 2006; 108: 133-142.
- 395 6. Balkaya A, Ergun A. Diversity and use of pinto bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) populations from
396 Samsun, Turkey. N. Z. J. Crop Horti Sci. 2008 ; 36 : 189-197.
- 397 7. Shinwari S, Akbar F, Rabbani MA, Mumtaz AS, Shinwari ZK. Evaluation of genetic diversity
398 in different genotypes of *Eruca sativa* from Pakistan by SDS-PAGE Analysis. Pak Jour Botan. 2013;
399 45: 1235 - 1240.
- 400 8. Ghafoor A. Genetic diversity and gene-action in *Vigna mungo* based on morphological and
401 biochemical markers. Ph D thesis: Quaid-i-Azam University. Islamabad, pp. 192; 1999.
- 402 9. Demol J, Baudoin JP, Louant BP, Maréchal R, Mergeai G, Otoul E. Plant breeding:
403 application to the main species grown in tropical regions. Ed presses of Gembloux, pp. 583; 2001.
- 404 10. Abdi A, Bekele E, Asfaw Z, Teshome A. Patterns of morphological variation of Sorghum
405 (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) landraces in qualitative characters in North Showa and South Welo,
406 Ethiopia. Hereditas. 2002; 137: 161-172.
- 407 11. Fufa H, Baenziger PS, Beecher BS, Dweikat I, Graybosch RA, Eskridge KM. Comparison
408 of phenotypic and molecular marker-based classifications of hard red winter wheat cultivars.
409 Euphytica. 2005 ; 145: 133-146.

- 410 12. Mal TK, Doust JL. Phenotypic plasticity in vegetative and reproductive traits in an invasive
411 weed, *Lythrum salicaria* (Lythraceae), in response to soil moisture. Amer Jour Botan. 2005 ; 92 (5) :
412 819 - 825.
- 413 13. Ducombs G. Lactones sesquiterpéniques et plantes. Rev Fr Allergol. 1999 ; 39 (4) : 295- 298.
- 414 14. Bonnier G. La grande flore, Tome 3. Ed. Berlin, pp. 676; 1990.
- 415 15. Pottier AG. Flore de la Tunisie: Angiospermes-Dicotylédones, Gamopétales. Programme Flore et
416 Végétation Tunisienne, pp. 651-1189 ; 1981.
- 417 16. Schweizer D, Ehrendorfer F. Giemsa banded Karyotypes, Systematics, and Evolution in
418 *Anacyclus* (Asteraceae-Anthemideae). Plant Syst Evol. 1976 ; 126: 107- 148.
- 419 17. Le Floc'h E. Contribution à une étude ethnobotanique de la flore Tunisienne. Programme Flore
420 et Végétation tunisienne : Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la recherche Scientifique, pp.
421 387 ; 1983.
- 422 18. Vogt R, Oberprieler C. Chromosome numbers of North African phanerogamsVIII. More counts
423 in Compositae. Willdenowia. 2008; 38: 497- 519.
- 424 19. Ameddah S, Menad A, Dendougu H, Meraihi Z, Benayache S, Benayache F. Studies in
425 Hepatocyte activity and the structure relationships and Luteolin Derivatives from *Chrysanthemum*
426 *fuscatum*. Ecam. 2007; 4(S1): 55- 58.
- 427 20. Judd WS, Cambell CS, Kellogg EA, Stevens P . Botanique systématique. 1^{ère} Edition, pp.
428 612 ; 1999.
- 429 21. Besharati-Seidani A, Jabbari A, Yamini Y, Saharkiz MJ. Rapid Extraction and analysis of
430 volatile organic compounds of Iranian feverfew (*Tanacetum parthenium*) using headspace solvent
431 microextraction (HSME), and gas chromatography / mass spectrometry. Flav Fragr Jour. 2006; 21:
432 502 - 509.
- 433 22. Castleman M. Les plantes qui guérissent: Le guide le plus complet sur le pouvoir curatif des
434 remèdes offerts par la nature. Ed. Rodale, pp. 518 ; 1991.

- 435 23. Afonso A, Castro S, Loureiro J, Mota L, De Oliveira JC, Torices R. The effects of achene
436 type and germination time on plant performance in the heterocarpic *Anacyclus clavatus* (Asteraceae).
437 Amer Jour Botan. 2014 ; 101 (5) : 1- 7.
- 438 24. Kaur G, Pal Singh B, Kaur Nagpal A. Phenology of Some Phanerogams (Trees and Shrubs) of
439 Northwestern Punjab, India. Jour Botan. 2013; Article ID 712405: 1- 10.
- 440 25. Clifford HT, Stephenson W. An introduction to numerical classification . Academic Press. New
441 York, USA; 1975.
- 442 26. Hair JF, Anderson RE, Tatham RL, Black WC. Multivariate data analysis. Macmillan. New
443 York, USA; 1992.
- 444 27. Kanti M, Anjani K, Usha Kiran B, Vivekananda K. Agro-morphological and Molecular
445 Diversity in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) Germplasm collected from Andaman and Nicobar Islands,
446 India. Czech J Genet Plant Breed. 2015; 51 (3): 96 - 109.
- 447 28. Arif M, Hussain I, Rabbani MA, Ali S, Ali N, Khan SM, Zaheer Tanoli MT, Raza H.
448 Assessment of genetic diversity among safflower germplasm through agro-morphological traits. Intern
449 Jour Biosci. 2016; Vol 9: 1 - 11.
- 450 29. Moukoumbi YD, Sié M, Vodouhe R, Ndri B, Toulou B, Ogunbayo SA, Ahanchede A.
451 Assessing phenotypic diversity of interspecific rice varieties using agro-morphological
452 characterization. Jour Plant Breed Crop Sci. 2011 : 74 - 86.
- 453
- 454