



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_34533
Title of the Manuscript:	Assesment of phenology and morphological diversity of 3 species of Asteraceae: <i>Anacyclus clavatus</i> , <i>Chamaemelum fuscatum</i> and <i>Leucanthemum parthenium</i>
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The article still has serious errors in English language (typography and style, below I mentioned some examples). The introduction is still poorly written, too much information that is not in the scope of work. The results are not discussed.</p> <p>The species are not written in the standard way (in relation to abbreviation of the genus).</p> <p>Line 35-38 (Conclusion) – This is just repeating the results.</p> <p>Line 83 -84- The author writes that the species <i>Chamaemelum fuscatum</i> belongs to the genus <i>Anthemis</i></p> <p>Line 130 – “the structure and color of the leaves, the structure and color of the inflorescences and flowers, the structure and color of akene and the weight of 100 akene” Color is a morphological trait?</p> <p>Fig 1-3. The months are not in English.</p> <p>Table 1 – In the number of branches what is the “T”? Color is not a morphological attribute.</p> <p>Line 210-226. You are repeating the information in table 1.</p> <p>Line 234 - 236. Are these values the averages followed by standard deviation? Why are not they in table 1?</p> <p>Line 248-250- Values do not match table values.</p> <p>Line 251-258- That is material and methods not results.</p> <p>Line 266-267 “This species effect is only significant for the number of leaves (NF)”???</p> <p>Line 277. “Comparison of means.” It is a posteriori test? Tukey?</p> <p>Line 311-327. Exhaustive!!!</p> <p>Figure 7. There is no quality for publication. What is this title?</p>	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

<p>Table 4. Unnecessary</p> <p><u>Examples of poor english</u> Line 81. "stomach and belly pains" Line 72. "bipinnatized" Line 85. "bipinnatized" Line 88. "C. fuscatum if found everywhere" Line 88-89. Use of "etc" Line 202-204. Incomprehensible Line 238. "The fruits differ from one species to another" Line 329 -330. "...reveals a more or less homogeneous grouping..." Line 332. "...very far from the others..." Line 352. "...analysis of variance showed variations..."</p>	
---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Victor Michelin Alves</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil</i>