



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Biology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_34230
Title of the Manuscript:	MOTHER'S CAFFEINE INGESTION AFFECTS FECUNDITY AND OFFSPRING BIRTH WEIGHT IN MURINE MODELS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Overall the paper is well in the point of view scientific research as well as language. But some points should be taken in consideration: -line 39:[..... body mass in humans] you should add references. - line53 to 55: delete the sentence for caffeine intake.... and puted it in the beginning of the sentence and sentence will be written like this: (according to Waeng et al, an increasing dose of caffeine intake (dose< 200mg/day) during.....compared with no caffeine intake.) -line64 to 67 the idea is bad written, more better to correct all the sentence.</p> <p>-line 68to 69: needs references. - line 70: however, it is more important to determine The influence of caffeine dose intake.</p> <p>-the method details are missing: the three doses of caffeine of each group are not explained in the method part, the table also was not mentioned and not explained in the method part. So a short paragraph included those points should be present with much more details of the method followed and measurements intake for body weight and litters. .</p> <p>- The results paragraph was also missing, it</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	is not enough to put only figures, you should explain them and mentioned them in the resultant paragraph.	
Optional/General comments	The abstract and discussion were well done. There are some lacks in the results part.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>B'chir Fatma</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>INRAP, Tunis</i>