



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<u><a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a></u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_32296
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biological characteristics of indigenous <i>Chrysoperla carnea</i> (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) fed on a natural and an alternative prey.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Page 3, Results, rephrase the first line: The effect of feeding on <b>two different prey</b> by C. Carnea ---</p> <p>Page 3, Results, The results indicated that the duration of both male and female larval -----</p> <p>Page 3, Results, 2<sup>nd</sup> Para, rephrase: <b>The</b> pupal duration of both males and females were not significantly different ----.</p> <p>Page 3, Results, 2<sup>nd</sup> para, It was ranged from 10.1 to 10.63 days <b>respectively</b> (Table 1).</p> <p>Page 3, Results, 3<sup>rd</sup> para, The presented results in Table (2) indicated that <b>the</b> total duration (from larva to adult)</p> <p>Page 4, Results, 2<sup>nd</sup> para, The mean fecundity per female of C. carnea was 373.75 eggs when the larvae was fed on A. fabae,</p> <p>Page 4, Discussion, 1<sup>st</sup> line: Our experiments indicated that <b>the</b> total larval development of C. carnea</p> <p>Page 4, Discussion, 1<sup>st</sup> line: <b>A</b> previous study stated that the development of C. Carnea ---</p> <p>Page 4, Discussion, 6<sup>th</sup> line, ----- than that <b>of</b> three different aphid species (22).</p> <p>Page 4, Discussion, last para: In the present study, the highest survival rate was recorded when C. carnea larvae was <b>fed</b> on E. kuehniella eggs.</p> <p>Page 5, Discussion, 1<sup>st</sup> line: ----- that <b>the</b> juvenile survival of C. carnea was higher on E. kuehniella eggs</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>than <b>the other two different aphid species</b> (14). Page 5, Discussion, last para: These results would be helpful for optimizing the mass rearing of indigenous <i>C. carnea</i> and help in designing <b>the</b> integrated pest management programs involving its use as a biocontrol agent of aphids on various crops.</p> <p>Table 2: Caption: delete 'of'</p> <p>Reference: Follow uniform style: Either provide full or abbreviated journal title.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Though the study is simple, the manuscript is of good quality and the authors have presented well.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b><i>B.Malaikozhundan</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Animal Health and Management, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India</i></b>