



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_37445
Title of the Manuscript:	Macro- and trace elements in freshwater lake sediments in the south of West Siberia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research work is scientifically sound, the only observation/concern is that most statistical studies make their conclusions on account of very sample size (data set in excess of 50 samples and above); however, the author used 15 samples for his/their study	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 64 – instead of 'due to the fact the lakes...', the author may consider using 'due to this fact, the lakes' Line 78 – instead of 'sodium-magnium composition'; it should have been 'sodium-magnesium composition' Line 140 – instead of 'Sediment samples for radionuclides (Th and Cs ¹³⁷) determination by gamma...' it should be 'Sediment samples for radionuclides (²³² Th and ¹³⁷ Cs) determination by gamma...' Line 349 – 'on the elemental composition of the studied lake sediments.....'	
Optional/General comments	It is the reviewer's view that the manuscript is ok for publication after minor corrections. The work is interesting.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okon Emmanuel Etim
Department, University & Country	Department of Geology, University Of Calabar, Nigeria