



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_36421
Title of the Manuscript:	STATUS OF WETLANDS IN COIMBATORE DISTRICT, TAMILNADU, INDIA
Type of the Article	Research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> The Author should include one important recommendation at the end of the Abstract to make it complete.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> 1.In India, as elsewhere in other developing countries wetlands are increasingly facing.. NOT in India, as elsewhere are increasingly facing.. 2. Line 33-Move the sentence Lack of good governance.....(Kumar et al,2013a) to join line 32. 3.Line 36- Samant 1999 noted....NOT (Samant 1999) noted. 4.Line 42-objectives NOT objective 5. Line 43-include as another objective-And also to recommend appropriate measures to sustain and improve the status of the wetlands. This inclusion will make the paper to have two objectives it wants to achieve.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b> <b>Study Area.</b> 1.Line 70-The Study Area,Coimbatore district with wetlands indicated on the map NOT The study area of wetland in Coimbatore district. 2.Line 71-The Author should indicate the source of the map. 3.There is no key or legend to indicate what the signs and symbols on the map (Fig.1) means.</p> <p><b>Results</b> 1.Line 89-The Author should indicate the source of Table 1. 2 .Line 93-Fourty seven (47) wetlands were recorded in Coimbatore district NOT we recorded 47 wetlands in Coimbatore district 3. Line 93-wetlands,they were classified under five groups NOT wetlands we have classified them under five groups.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> 1.Line 185-In Coimbatore district forty nine per cent (49%) of the wetlands vanished within 20 years time NOT In Coimbatore district 49% of wetlands vanished within 20 years of time. 2.The Conclusion is too short and incomplete. The Author should include the measures to be adopted by the Government, Environmental Organizations/NGOs and concerned individuals to sustain and improve the current status of the wetlands in view of their importance to the livelihoods of the local people.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>Abstract</b>                  1.cleaning cattle NOT cleaning cattle's                  2.2% of wetlands are used as source of drinking water NOT 2% of wetlands used as drinking source.                  3.The results NOT Our results                  4.Coimbotore district is due to.... NOT Coimbotore district due to...</p> <p><b>Introduction</b>                  1.Line 16-Based on the country report NOT As per the country report.                  2.Line 37-(Prasad et al,2002) NOT (Prasad,2002. close the bracket.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b></p> <p><b>Study Area</b>                  1.Line 49-What does the word Taluks means ? write what it means in bracket and italicise the word Taluks as it is not and English word.                  2.Line 56-Potentials NOT Potential                  3.Line 61-Coimbotore,considered as South Indian Manchester NOT Coimbotore,the south Indian Manchester</p> <p><b>Methods</b>                  1.Line 74- wetlands NOT wetland                  2.Line 76-to collect data on the situation NOT to understand the situation.                  3.Lines 78 and 81-delete these sub-headings and merge lines 79,80 and 82 with the Methods.                  4.Line 80-Government record which were used to make NOT Government records and to make                  5.Line 82-Write the full meaning of SPSS and explain it briefly.</p> <p><b>Results</b>                  6.Line 97-the wetlands were recorded into five categories NOT we have recorded the wetlands into five categories                  7.Line 101-more than 16 years ago NOT more than 16 years.                  8.Line 105-Different types of wastes were recorded dumped NOT we have recorded different types of wastes dumped                  9.Line 115-purpoese NOT purpose                  10.Line 117-district were categorised NOT district we categorise.                  11.Line 117-The depth of various wetlands were recorded in NOT we have recorded the depth of various wetlands in                  12.Line 126-colourless which were recorded NOT colourless were recorded                  13.Line 127-The following anthropogenic activities were observed in and around NOT We observe the anthropogenic activities in and around.                  14.Line 129-The study showed NOT Our study showed                  15.Line 131-Under sub division 1 eight wetlands were recorded NOT Under sub division1 we have recorded 8 wetland                  16.Line 133-The recent changes in the wetlands were</p>	
---------------------------------------	--	--



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>also recorded within NOT we recorded the recent changes in the wetlands within                  17.Line 134-Based on the changes the wetlands were classified NOT Based on the changes we classified the wetlands into                  18.Line 139-farmland which were observed NOT farmlands were observed.  <b>Discussion</b>                  19.Line141-surface were altered NOT surface where altered                  20.Line 142-The study also revealed that NOT In our study also revealed that                  21.Line 151-The study observe that 25 of the wetlands dried up NOT Our study reports that 25 of the wetlands were dried up                  22.Line 152-The dried out wetlands are use for cattle grazing NOT the dried out wetlands used for cattle grazing                  23.Line 159-The study supported that NOT Our study supported that                  24.Line 162-The present study NOT From the present study                  25.Line 166-The study observed that NOT The study reported that                  26.Line 169-The study also supports that NOT From our study supported                  27.Line 170-Many wetlands are used as NOT Many wetlands used as                  28.Line 174/175-The study showed that NOT Our study showed that                  29.Line 175-farmlands NOT farmland                  30.Line 176- areas NOT area                  31.Line 181-From the study it is observed that the wetlands supports the presence of eleven(11) birds species in and around Coimbotore district NOT From our study wetlands supports presence of 11 birds species in and around wetlands in Coimbatore district.                  32. Pictures showing the various wetlands in Coimbotore District NOT Wetland Photos.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The Author should not be personalizing issues raised in the text through the use of pronouns like our,we etc. There are many areas in the text where the Author should use words instead of figures with the figures been in bracket e.g five (5),twenty five (25) etc.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suleiman Iguda Ladan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Department of Basic and Applied Sciences, Hassan Usman Katsina Polytechnic, Nigeria</b>