



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEB_A_32642
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Empirical Analysis of the Relationship between Poverty and Growth in Ethiopia: Micro-Panel Data Evidence from Amhara Region</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction needs proper editorial work for good English. For example, halving/eradicating extreme poverty and hunger, between 1990 and 2010, is the first goal, among others other MDGs, on which all UN member countries have agreed in 2000. See page 1, paragraph 1 in the introductory section.</li> <li>2. In paragraphs 2 and 3 of the same introductory section, the author(s) contradicted selves. For instance, they argued that extreme poverty in SSA dropped from 56% in 1990 to 48% in 2010 in paragraph 2, while in paragraph 3, they said that extreme poverty rose from 290 million in 1990 to 414 million in 2010 in SSA.</li> <li>3. The study also seems to have citation problem. There are some sharp statements made without adequate referencing in the manuscript. See page 4, paragraph 3.</li> <li>4. The results are robust; however, it seems like the author(s) misinterpreted same in the discussion of the results. For example, they argued that a percentage increase in per capita household expenditure decreases incidence of poverty by 0.9376%; however, I cannot find this figure in the estimated results, rather the results indicate 0.9736%. See page 14 of the work. The same thing is applied in the comparison of the net growth elasticity of poverty (1-0.93761).</li> <li>5. The referencing style adopted by the author(s) is not in</li> </ol>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>accordance with the Sciencedomain referencing style. They need to use the Sciencedomain referencing style in inside the manuscript and in their references</p> <p>6. The methodology, the results and discussions, and the conclusion are ok, except the few areas pointed out above.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Eze, Onyebuchi Michael</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Economics Department, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria</i></b>