The text is better written by now.

Anyway, references added seem a little better but I still don’t think that revising developmental theories and/or mentioning trait-factor makes sense. They quoted Savickas but don’t say anything about his life design approach, for instance. I strongly believe metrics aren’t needed in such text, but descriptive statistics.

In the discussion section, there will be disagreement with Wilson (2000) about source of information, since 17 years ago internet, mobile and social media use was completely different from nowadays.

Olayinka (1993) and McKnight (2009) argument for High School students and the submission sample is from Higher Ed seems a bit awkward. I would say that having such traditional sources may be due to cultural aspects; however, I would also add that author doesn’t say much about media, which was the first rank in answers. How do they explore these sources? It seems that kind of data was not collected.

I would say that some revisions are still needed in literature review and discussion sections. Conclusions may also need some actualization due to changes made.

I suggest reading:


