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**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
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**PART 1: Review Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compulsory REVISION comments</strong></td>
<td><strong>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Add corresponding references for in-text citations.</td>
<td>1. There are many in-text citations that are not included in the reference pages. All references must be included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. this number was extracted. Please include a bit more information about the study they conducted as this will provide context around findings of studies by Hutchinson &amp; Bottorff, and Herr Y Cramer.</td>
<td>2. Lines 40-46 discuss findings of a study by Hutchinson &amp; Bottorff. There is a reference to 89% but there is no information about the data pool from which this number was extracted. Please include a bit more information about the study they conducted as this will provide context. Also on line 146 begins a brief discussion of findings by Herr &amp; Cramer without context to the significance of their findings (10 major types of matching information).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Provide supporting source for claims of unemployment problems.</td>
<td>3. Beginning on line 99 there is claim that the country is faced with the problem of unemployment. This claim must be supported with empirical evidence, which could be accomplished with a citation from a reputable source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Explain how 350 participants provide 1305 and 729 responses to questions, make it clear how this math comes about.</td>
<td>4. Beginning on line 209 there is a discussion about the number of response to a series of questions but no explanation about how 350 provide this large number of responses. Make it clear how the number 1305 and 729 are derived.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Discuss the significance of statistical findings.</td>
<td>5. The results and discussion section provides an analysis of the data but doesn’t really discuss the significance of those findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Conclusions and recommendations must tie into Results and Discussion</td>
<td>6. It appears that many things are mentioned in the conclusion and recommendations that are not included in the results and discussion section. There are also no significant recommendations or recommendations for future research based on unanswered questions or new questions arising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cite author</td>
<td>8. Cite lines 184 - 193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from the current research.

7. Line 176 – Anne Roe must be cited here – add year after name of theorist
   No citations are provided for lines 184-193, and the researcher should not be referred
   to as “she” in line 183. Always use the researcher’s name and not “he” or “she” even if
   it feels redundant.

**Minor REVISION comments**

1. Spell out words and provide acronyms only after the first use and identification
   of acronym.
2. Avoid personal pronouns
3. Use past tense throughout
4. Avoid all vague references to objects
5. Punctuation needs to be corrected throughout
6. There are no recommendations for future research
7. Statistics review
   1. Spell out SSPS the first time it is used.
   2. Do not use “you” or “I” and do your best not to use “his or her” or “he or she”. If
      you do use “his or her” or “he or she”, do not then only use “his” or “he” in
      the same discussion (See lines 99-109 for examples)
   3. The verb tense of the paper should be in past tense but there are many
      inconstancies please see lines 170, 174, and 208 for a couple of examples.
   4. Do not start sentences or paragraphs with “It is” – identify exactly what it “It
      is”. See line 141 for an example. What is also called matching or actuarial
      approach?
   5. There is a misuse of semicolons throughout the paper, and commas are
      erratically placed. See the Results and Discussion section for the most
      frequent errors to punctuation.
   6. Please add recommendations for future research
   7. I would recommend that if it hasn’t already been done that a statistics expert
      review the statistical analysis of the paper.

**Optional/General comments**

The study is relevant and the manuscript is promising. I don’t believe that there is
anything noted in the review that cannot be overcome to create a strong presentation
of the research. There are some critical errors or omissions that should be fixed,
especially where references and citations are concerned. There are a few claims
and/or discussions of findings from past research that need to be better support. The
results need to be better explained and a discussion of the significance of those
results should be included.
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