



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_39221
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Exclusion of Tribal Agricultural Labourers: The Case of Adiya Tribal Community of Wayanad District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON 'Social Exclusion of Tribal Agricultural Labourers: The Case of Adiya Tribal Community of Wayanad District'</p> <p>Comment 1: Abstract</p> <p>1. Only the first two sentences of the Abstract, can be said to <u>introduce the topic</u>. There is need for the causation for choosing the topic, (<u>why the topic?</u>)</p> <p>2. The first two sentences are immediately followed by <u>research finding</u>, this is premature and should be shifted to the findings/conclusion areas.</p> <p>3. <u>Introduction, line 5, 6</u></p> <p>'Income is also.....the end' should be amended and re-written as 'Income is also a means, with human development, to an end'</p> <p>4. <u>Page 2, Para 3, line 3</u></p> <p><u>Insert a comma after sense</u></p> <p>5. Though the work participation rate is high among Adiyas, theykerala' seem ambiguous,, <u>should be clarified</u>,</p> <p>6. <u>p 2 para 3.2 Economic exclusion, line 6</u></p> <p><u>Change to 'markets'</u></p> <p>7. <u>There was no rationale and explanation for the choice of Adiya</u> out of presumably many other tribal and profession-based communities. There is need for a description of the context of the Paper out of Adija community compared with nearby tribes and occupational groups,</p> <p>8. The Paper offers only a <u>tersely expressed Recommendation</u> in the last sentence of the Conclusion paragraph</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I consider the Paper as rather short and constrained to deal adequately with such an interesting topic.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babatunde Oyedeji
Department, University & Country	Dept of politics & international relations, lead city university, Nigeria