**Editor’s Comment:**

The critical issue is the lack of discussion on the study results. The introduction (mainly on previous studies and references) occupied about 50% of the entire space. The 2 tables results and very limited discussions are out of proportion for a scientific research article standard.

In my opinion, this article can only be accepted if the above proportion condition may be adjusted, and that is, reducing the size of "Introduction" and expanding the size of "Results and Discussion). Also, all the mathematical equations should be numbered.

**Author’s Feedback:**
I reduce the introduction, add up to the result and discussion and numbered the mathematical equations.