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PART 2:

**FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)**

- **Abstract:** please correct T5 add new humex. It is necessary to add some results to the abstract (numbers for obtained results).
- **Material and methods:**
  1. New humex (commercial name): correct 5 m / L to 5ml/L
  2. Stem diameter (cm). at 10 (?) add cm after 10
  3. Potassium silicate: correct 10 m3/L to 10ml/L
  4. (T6) Olivine correct (3 cm/L) to 3cm3/L
  5. (T7) Olivine correct (3cm/L) to 3cm3/L
  6. (T8) Olivine correct (3cm/L) to 3cm3/L
  7. (T9) Stem diameter (cm). at 10 (?) add cm after 10
  8. Experimental design and statistical analysis: the design of this experiment is factorial in complete randomized block design please add factorial, you mentioned to capital letter put you used also small letter.
  
**Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments**

- **Results and discussion:**
  Delete the following because you mentioned to them in material and method:
  In this study in transplants height, stem diameter, No. of lateral shoots, No. of leaves/transplant, average leaf area, total assimilation area, transplant leaves, stem, fresh weight of transplant and roots and leaves, stem and roots dry weight and top / root ratio, were the investigated growth measurements of Washington Navel orange scion pertaining their response to the specific effect of the two investigated factors (Volkamer lemon & sour orange rootstocks) and growth stimulants as well as interactions effect of the combinations between the variables of both investigated factors.
  - Where is Duncan values? add the values to the tables
  - Average leaf area (cm correct to cm²) and total assimilation area transplant (cm²): Discussion of results is low, there is no explanation for the results why they got these results, and always use the reference in the same way, without details. As example: The present result is in accordance with those found by (17) and (20). What they found?
  References 6 and 7 are not found in the text, they are not used so you should either delete them from the list or used them in their correct place.
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