**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:


**PART 1: Review Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Compulsory REVISION comments** | • You can only represent a result or data in a table or bar chart format but not both. Because table 1 and figure 1 seems the same.  
• How was *Morchella conica* identified? Because it is supposed to be identified before use.  
• Line 160-161: please rephrase to “it can be concluded from the study that water extraction of *Morchella conica* possess high antioxidant activity with increase in extracts concentration.” |
| **Minor REVISION comments** | • Line 8: conducted at University...  
• Line 9: in  
• Line 47: belonging.....  
• Line 107: have  
• Line 133: high |
| **Optional/General comments** | |
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