



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_43580
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biochemical characteristics and Nutritional profile of the stem bark extracts from the red variety of Byttneria catalpifolia, an edible wild plant growing in the Western part of Côte d'Ivoire</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Need reference [It is an edible wild plant whose bark is-----d'Ivoire., line no. 33 to 35].</li> <li>2. <b>For the purposes of clarity and precision, full binomial nomenclature of the plant together with the authorship must appear in the manuscript at the first mention, after which, it would be acceptable to use just the binomen for describing the plant. (For example, you may write <i>B. catalpifolia</i> subsequently)</b></li> <li>3. Correction needed. Materials and Methods (line no.49, add 's' to material)</li> <li>4. Please check line no. 67 (incomplete) [-----with the standard methods of the [12].]</li> <li>5. Line no. 69 (rewrite Memmert, Germany)</li> <li>6. Please check line no. 78 (incomplete) [-----acid method according to [13].]</li> <li>7. Line no. 88 (Use 'Mineral analysis' in place of 'Minerals analysis').</li> <li>8. Line no. 98 (Use 'Determination of Amino acids' in place of 'Amino acids determination')</li> <li>9. Please add references for 2.3 Minerals analysis and 2.4. Amino acids determination.</li> <li>10. Line no. 113 (Use 'Determination of Organic acids' in place of 'Organic acids determination')</li> <li>11. Line no.115 (incomplete [method of [15] – not the correct referencing style)</li> <li>12. Line no.130 (Please include reference)</li> <li>13. Line no. 148 (Please include reference) FAO table---</li> <li>14. Line170 (table 2 need to be written as Table 2)</li> <li>15. Tables are not as per journal format</li> <li>16. Line no. 306 ('Discussion' need to be in capitals)</li> <li>17. Few references need to be re-written as per the journal format</li> <li>18. The abstract should be as per the format of the journal.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Gouri Kumar Dash</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universiti Kuala Lumpur Royal College of Medicine Perak, Malaysia</i></b>