



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_43259
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk assessment for Subjective Evidence-Based Ethnography applied in High Risk Environment: Improved Protocol
Type of Article:	Method Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>No need to comment. Basic stance is different from that of the author. Although the authors revised the manuscript, revision does not meet my concerns, that adding several questions in the assessment questionnaire for the comfort of equipment is NOT worth to publish as a journal paper. Although the author postulates its novelty, it is difficult for me to agree with it. As I am working on a quite similar ethnographical methodology, where recording subjective view and objective view using small video cameras and use the recorded images in the retrospective interview. From my point of view, the assessment questionnaire is just a small part of the methodology. It is quite common to test apparatus used in the experiment before conducting experiments. This is a kind of the preliminary experiment. Applying an assessment questionnaire during this stage is good to standardize the procedure. Thus, I think this manuscript will be valuable as an internal report for their own laboratory, but it does not worth to be publicized via scientific journal. Judgment for value of paper is quite relative, If your editor or editorial committee judge to worth to publication based on the policy of journal, it is OK because there is anything incorrect in the manuscript. Again, it is a matter of amount of value for scientific society.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Motoyuki Akamatsu
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Advance Science and Technology, Japan