

**Evaluation of Antimicrobial, Antifungal, and Cytotoxic Properties of
Abroma augusta Linn.**

ABSTRACT

Objective: This present study has been undertaken to evaluate the antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of four different fractionates such as petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed fractions of *Abroma augusta* leaf extract.

Methodology: The antimicrobial and antifungal properties have been characterized by disc diffusion method. The cytotoxicity property was determined against brine shrimp nauplii.

Results and conclusion: Among the four fractionates, the dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction showed the highest activity towards the *S. aureus*, *E. coli* bacteria and the fungus *C. albicans* with the zone inhibition of 12~13 mm which was comparable with that of the standard Kanamycin. The chloroform, petroleum ether, and ethyl acetate fraction was exhibited least activity toward the bacteria and fungus with the zone inhibition of 6~9 mm. Similarly, the dia-ion resin adsorbed fractions showed the highest antifungal activity with the zone inhibition of 15 mm. From the results of cytotoxicity test, it was observed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found be the highest active on 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30h of exposures in which almost all the nauplii were dead. From the corresponding LD₅₀ values, the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found to be the highest toxic against *Artemia salina* nauplii of 75.019 and 65.553 ppm, respectively. It has been predicted that the *Abroma augusta* leaves might be considered as a potent cytotoxic agent for further advanced research.

Keywords: *Abroma augusta*, antimicrobial, antifungal, cytotoxicity, brine shrimp.

1. INTRODUCTION

Abroma augusta Linn. belonging to the family “Sterculiaceae” commonly known as Devil’s cotton has been used as remedy for the treatment of various types of disorders. In Bangladesh *A. augusta* is very much familiar with name “Ulatkambal”. It is one of the widely found plants all over in India and Australia [1,2]. The root of *A. augusta* are used as a uterine tonic, an emmenagogue, dysmenorrhoea, amenorrhoea, sterility and other menstrual diseases. The

34 different solvent fractions showed the presence of tannins, glycosides, steroids and alkaloids.
35 The whole plant contains several alkaloids and secondary metabolites including steroids,
36 triterpenes, flavonoids, megastigmanes, benzohydrofurans and their glycosides and
37 phenylethanoid glycosides [3]. The leaves of *A. augusta* contain octacosanol, taraxerol, β -
38 sitosterol acetate, an aliphatic alcohol and mixture of long chain fatty diols. Different
39 parts of *A. augusta* are useful in treating diabetes, stomachache, dermatitis, leucorrhoea,
40 scabies, gonorrhoea, cough, leukoderma, jaundice, nerve stimulant, weakness, hypertension,
41 uterine disorders, dermatitis, inflammation, rheumatic pain of joints and headache with
42 sinusitis [3]. The plant is reported to have hypolipidemic effect, however root bark is reported
43 to contain antifertility agent [2]. The ethanolic extract of roots of *A. augusta* also exhibit the
44 hypoglycemic effect in alloxan induced diabetic rats [4,5]. The petroleum extracts of roots of
45 *A. augusta* is used for its anti-inflammatory activity [6]. The n-hexane extract of seeds of *A.*
46 *augusta* is used as antifungal and phytotoxic activity [7]. From the point of view, it has been
47 observed that a number of drugs are exclusively derived from the plant *A. augusta*. In spite of
48 being widely used in traditional systems of medicines, not any reports are published on
49 antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of the plant *A. augusta*. In this context, the
50 objective of the present study is to evaluate the antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic
51 properties using some known pathogenic bacteria and fungal.

52

53 **2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND MATERIALS**

54 **2.1 Plant collection and extraction process**

55 The *Abroma augusta* plant leaves were collected from the uncultivated adjacent areas of
56 Rajshahi University campus, Bangladesh. The collected leaves were washed thoroughly in
57 water then dried in open air for a week at 35–40°C. The dried leaves were chopped and
58 pulverized in electric grinder. The ground leaves of *A. augusta* were exhaustively extracted
59 with methanol (MeOH, Analytical Grade) in soxhlet extractor. The resulting juicy extract was
60 filtered through Whatman paper and concentrated under reduced pressure at 45°C using the
61 Buchi Rotavapor (R–200). The obtained material was then called crude methanolic extract.
62 The process was done for several times to increase the crude extract. The crude extract was
63 divided into two parts: one part was kept as stock crude in refrigerator and other part was
64 used to obtain water soluble component. The crude was taken in a reagent bottle (2.5L) and
65 triturate with water; then it was filtered and water soluble triturate was separated out. It is

66 known that the antioxidant compounds are water soluble; therefore, dia-ion resin column was
67 used to separate the antioxidants components from the water soluble triturate.
68 The water soluble portion was passed through the dia-ion resin until the white color of the
69 resin was turned to brown. The adsorbed components were then eluted with methanol (Merck
70 KGaA, Germany). This process was repeatedly done to separate all the antioxidants
71 components from the remaining water soluble part of the *A. august* extract. The methanol was
72 removed from the elute using Rotavapor at 45°C and water was removed from the condensed
73 eluted part by freeze dryer. This dried material was then used to fractionate into three
74 fractions by triturating with petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate (Fluka, India) and by
75 dissolving the residue in methanol. Finally, petroleum ether, chloroform and ethyl acetate
76 triturate were collected and were subjected to the further evaluation of the antimicrobial,
77 antifungal and cytotoxic properties.

78

79 **2.2 Antimicrobial and antifungal activity test**

80 The antimicrobial and antifungal activity was determined by standard disc diffusion method
81 by measuring the zone of inhibition and was compared to that of the standard disc [8, 9]. Two
82 pathogenic bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*) and one fungal (*Candida*
83 *albicans*) were used in this test. The nutrient agar media were dispensed to a number of clean
84 test tubes, each containing 5 mL of the prepared slants. The test tubes were plugged with
85 cotton and sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C and 15 lbs/sq-inch pressure for 15 min. After
86 sterilization, the test tubes were kept in an inclined position for solidification. These were
87 then incubated at 37.5°C to ensure the sterilization. Finally, the slants were streaked with
88 pure culture of the test organisms in the laminar air flow and incubated at 37.5° for 24 hrs.
89 The test plates were prepared by pouring nutrient agar in 15.0 mL in clean test tubes and
90 plugged with cotton. The test tubes were sterilized by autoclaving and allowed to cool at
91 about 50°C. The media in the test tubes were incubated with fresh culture. Bacteria were
92 agitated to ensure uniform dispersion of organisms into the media. Finally, the media were
93 poured into sterile petri discs in aseptic condition. The petri discs were rotated several times,
94 first clockwise and then anticlockwise to assure homogeneous distribution of the test
95 organisms. Thus the plates were ready for sensitivity test and stored it in a refrigerator at 4°C.

96

97 **2.3 Preparation of sample and standard discs**

98 The sample solution was prepared in methanol in such a manner that 10 µL contained 200 µg
99 of the **bacteria and fungus**. 20 µL of the test solution was applied on a disc and thus the disc
100 containing 400 µg of the **sample prepared**. These discs were left for few min in aseptic
101 condition for complete removal of the solvent. The standard discs were used as positive
102 control to ensure the activity of the standard antibiotic against the test organisms as well as
103 for comparison of the response produced by the known antibacterial agent. In this study,
104 Kanamycin (K-30) containing 30 µg/disc of antibiotic was used as standard disc for
105 comparison. The sample impregnated discs and standard antibiotic discs were placed gently
106 on solidified agar plates seeded with the organisms to ensure contact with the media. The
107 plates were kept in a refrigerator 4°C for 24h and then incubated at 37.5°C for 24h.

108

109 **2.4 Cytotoxicity activity test**

110 **2.4.1 Brine shrimp lethality bioassay**

111 Brine shrimp lethality bioassay was used for the probable cytotoxic activity according to the
112 method described here [10–12]. The eggs of Brine Shrimp (*Artemia salina*) were collected
113 from the aquarium shop of Kalabagan, Dhaka, Bangladesh and hatched in a small artificially
114 partitioned tank with constant oxygen supply at temperature around 37°C. The artificial sea
115 water contains 3.8% of sodium chloride was made by dissolving 38 g sodium chloride in
116 1000 mL distilled water. The **pH** of the brine water was maintained at 8 ~ 9 using NaHCO₃.
117 In the two partitioned tank, the eggs were hatched in the darkened side whereas the other part
118 of the tank was put under sunlight. With the help of light illumination, the larvae (nauplii)
119 were attracted to one side of the tank and were easily collected from the non-hatched eggs.
120 One day old mature nauplii were used for the experiment. The extracts (petroleum ether,
121 chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed) dissolved in DMSO were added into
122 each vial to obtain final concentration of 800, 400, 200, 100, 50 and 25 ppm. Each
123 concentration was tested in triplicate. The controls were prepared in same manner except that
124 DMSO was used instead of the extracts. 30 shrimp nauplii were used as negative control
125 group. When the nauplii in the control showed a rapid mortality, then the test was considered
126 to be invalid due to reasons other than the cytotoxicity of the test compounds. After 24h, the
127 number of survivors were counted by magnifying glass and next, the percentage of death and
128 LD₅₀ was calculated by probit analysis [13]. The mortality percentage was corrected by using
129 the Abbott's formula [14].

130

$$P_t = \frac{(P_o - P_c)}{(100 - P_c)} \times 100$$

131 (1)

132

133 Where, P_t = corrected mortality%, P_o = observed mortality %, and P_c = control mortality %.

134

135 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

136 3.1 Antimicrobial and antifungal activity

137 The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the four different fractions were examined and
138 the results are given in the Table 1. It has been observed that among the four fractions tested,
139 the dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction showed broader spectrum of activity, being active to both
140 the *S. aureus*, *E. coli* bacteria and *C. albicans* fungus with the inhibition zone of 12, 13 and
141 15 mm, respectively. The ethyl acetate fraction showed the inhibition zone (8~9 mm) against
142 *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria and 11 mm against *C. albicans* fungal. The chloroform and
143 petroleum ether fractions showed mild activity against the tested organism with the inhibition
144 zone of 6~8 mm. However, the acetone extract of the *A. augusta* was reported to found the
145 highest activity with the zone inhibition of 27 mm against *B. megaterium* which was little
146 higher than that of our results [15]. From the results of our finding, it is obvious that the dia-
147 ion resin adsorbed fraction have the highest antimicrobial and antifungal activity. The highest
148 activity of the dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction may be due to the higher amount of
149 polyphenolic compounds which inhibit the microbial growth [16].

150

151 3.2 Cytotoxicity activity test

152 The brine shrimp lethality assay has been used extensively in the primary screening of the
153 crude extracts as well as the isolated compounds to evaluate cytotoxic, phototoxic, pesticidal,
154 and many other activities towards brine shrimp that could provide an indication of possible
155 cytotoxic properties of the test material [17]. In this present study, the brine shrimp lethality
156 test was used to assess cytotoxic potential of the petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate,
157 and dia-ion resin adsorbed fractionates of *Abroma augusta*. The lethality of the different
158 fractions of *Abroma augusta* was determined on *A. salina* after 6-30-hour exposure. The
159 results are presented in Table 2. From the mortality percentage of brine shrimp, the probits
160 were calculated for each concentration of the fractions and plotted against the corresponding
161 log concentrations. From this plot, the LD_{50} values were calculated and the values are
162 presented in Table 3. The results of brine shrimp lethality test has been expressed as: the
163 fraction would not be toxic with the value of $LD_{50} > 1000$ ppm, would show weak toxicity

164 with the value of LD₅₀ 500-1000 ppm, might be toxic with the value of LD₅₀ 100-500 ppm
165 and would be very toxic with the value LD₅₀<100 ppm [18]. Among all the four fractions, it
166 has been observed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions displayed significant
167 toxicity and different mortality rate towards shrimp nauplii. The mortality rate of nauplii was
168 found to be increased with concentration of each of the fractions. The chloroform and ethyl
169 acetate fractions showed the highest level of toxicity with the LD₅₀ values of 75.01 and 65.55
170 µg/mL, respectively at 30h exposure. On the other hand, the petroleum ether and dia-ion resin
171 adsorbed fraction showed the toxicity with LD₅₀ values of 407.11 and 268.02 µg/mL,
172 respectively at 30h exposure. The inhibitory effect of the extract might be due to the toxic
173 components present in the active fraction that possess ovicidal and larvicidal properties. The
174 metabolites either affected the embryonic development or slay the eggs [19]. Therefore, the
175 toxicity effects of the plant extract articulate that it can be selected for further cell line assay
176 because there is a correlation between cytotoxicity and activity against the brine shrimp
177 nauplii [20].

178

179 **4. CONCLUSION**

180 The antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of *Abroma augusta* leaf extract have
181 been evaluated on *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *C. albicans*, and *A. salina*, respectively. Among the four
182 fractionates, dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction showed the activity with the zone inhibition of
183 12~13 mm that was comparable with the standard Kanamycin. The chloroform, petroleum
184 ether, and ethyl acetate fractions exhibited weak activity with the zone of inhibition 6~9 mm.
185 In the case of antifungal activity test, the dia-ion resin adsorbed fractions showed the highest
186 antifungal activity with the zone inhibition of 15mm. From the results of cytotoxicity test, it
187 was observed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found to be the highest active
188 on 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30h of exposures in which almost all the nauplii were dead. From the
189 corresponding LD₅₀ values, the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found to be the
190 highest toxic of 75.019 and 65.553 ppm, respectively. It has been predicted that the *Abroma*
191 *augusta* leaves may be considered to be a potent cytotoxic agent for further advanced
192 research.

193

194

195 **Conflict of interest**

196 We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

197

198

199 **References**

200 [1] Nahar N, Hazra BK, Mosihuzzaman M, Rahman MM, Ander R. Structural studies of a
201 mucilage from *Abroma augusta* bark. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 1994; 24(4):277-280.

202 [2] Gupta N, Ganeshpurkar A, Jatav N, Bansal D, Dubey N. In prevention of chick pancreatic
203 lipase activity by *Abroma augusextract*. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed*. 2012;2(2):712-715.

204 [3] Saikot FK, KhanA, Hasan MF. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of *Abroma augusta*
205 Lnn. Leaves extract. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed*. 2012;2(3):S1418-S1422.

206 [4] Kar A, Choudhary BK, Bandyopadhyay NG. Comparative evaluation of hypoglycaemic
207 activity of some Indian medicinal plants in alloxan diabetic rats. *J. Ethnopharmacology*.
208 2003;84:105-108.

209 [5] Atmakuri LR, Dathi S. Current trends in herbal medicines. *J. pharm. research*.
210 2010;3(1):109-113.

211 [6] Mishra M, Ghosh G, Mishra D, Barik B. Advance in pharmacology and toxicology.
212 2008;9(3):147-150.

213 [7] Rahmatullah M, Bachar C, Rahman S, Jahan R. Advance in natural and applied science.
214 2010;4(2):163-173.

215 [8] Bauer AW, Kirby WMM Sherries, Tuck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a
216 standardized disc diffusion method. *Am. J. Clini. Path*. 1966;45:493-496.

217 [9] Rios JL, Recio MC, Villar A. Screening methods for natural products with antimicrobial
218 activity: A review of the literature. *J. Ethnopharma*.1988;23:127-149.

219 [10] Meyer BN, Ferringm NR, Puam JE, Lacobsen LB, Nichols DE, MeLaughlin
220 JL. Brine shrimp: a convenient general bioassay for active constituents. *Planta Med*. 1982;
221 45:31-32.

222 [11] Persoone G. Proceeding of the International Symposium on brine shrimp, *Artemia salina*,
223 Vol. 1 3, Universa Press, Witteren, Belgium 1980.

224 [12] Ahmad B, Azam S, Bashir S. Insecticidal, brine shrimp cytotoxicity, antifungal and
225 nitric oxide free radical scavenging activities of the aerial parts of *Myrsine Africana* L. *Afr. J.*
226 *Biotech*. 2011;10:1448-1453.

227 [13] Busvine JR. A critical review of the techniques for testing insecticides, Commonwealth
228 Agricultural Buereux, 1971, 345.

229 [14] Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide, *J. Econ*.

230 Entomol. 1925;18:265-267.

231 [15] Saikot FK, Khan A, Hasan MF. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of *Abroma*
 232 *augusta* Lnn. leaves extract. *Asian Pacific J. Trop. Biomed.* 2012;S1418-S1422.

233 [16] Ouattara MB, Kiendrébéogo M, Millogo-Rasolodimby J, Compaore M, Nacoulma OG.
 234 Phytochemical, Antibacterial and Antioxidant Investigations of *Sesbania rostrate* Dc
 235 (Fabaceae) Extracts form Leaves, Stems, Granulates, Pods and Roots. *Current Research*
 236 *Journal of Biological Sciences.* 2011;3(6):606-611.

237 [17] McLughilin JL. Bench top bioassays for the discovery of bioactive compounds in
 238 higher plants. *Brenesia.* 1991; 34:1-14.

239 [18] Arias M.E, Gomez J.D, Cudmani N.M, Vattuone M.A, Isla M.I. Antibacterial activity of
 240 ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Acacia aroma* Gill. *Ex Hook et Arn. Life Sciences.* 2004;
 241 75:191-202.

242 [19] Aseer Manilal, Sujith S, Seghal Kiran G, Joseph Selvin, Chippu Shakir. Cytotoxic
 243 Potentials of Red Alga, *Laurencia brandenii* Collected from the Indian Coast. *Global Journal*
 244 *of Pharmacology* 2009; 3(2):90-94.

245 [20] Haque M, Obayed Ullah M, Nahar K. *In vitro* antibacterial and cytotoxic activities of
 246 different parts of plant *Swietenia mahagony*. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 2009;
 247 12(7):599-602.

248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253

Table 1. Antimicrobial and antifungal activity of four different fractions such as petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed fractionate of *A. augusta*.

Fractions	Dose (µg/disc)	Zone of inhibition (mm)		
		Bacteria		Fungal
		<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>
Petroleum ether	400	7	6	8
Chloroform	400	8	7	8
Ethyl acetate	400	9	8	11
Dia-ion resin adsorbed	400	12	13	15
Kanamycin (K-30)	30	22	22	22

254
 255

256 **Table 2. Cytotoxic activity of four different fractionates of *Abroma augusta* on *Artemia salina* nauplii after**
 257 **6-30h exposure in which 30 nauplii were used.**
 258

Fractions	Concentration (ppm)	No. of nauplii killed after exposure (h)					Control
		6	12	18	24	30	
Petroleum ether	400	0	0	4	10	11	0
	200	0	0	2	5	9	0
	100	0	0	1	5	7	0
	50	0	0	1	2	5	0
	25	0	0	0	2	2	0
Chloroform	400	3	20	24	26	29	0
	200	1	5	12	15	21	0
	100	1	2	5	12	15	0
	50	1	3	5	9	11	0
	25	0	3	6	6	8	0
Ethyl acetate	400	4	12	21	26	30	0
	200	1	8	14	22	28	0
	100	2	7	8	12	14	0
	50	0	7	8	11	12	0
	25	0	3	6	8	8	0
Dia-ion resin	400	2	3	6	11	14	0
	200	0	0	3	7	11	0
	100	0	2	3	5	5	0
	50	0	0	3	3	4	0
	25	0	0	1	4	4	0

259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264

Table 3. LD₅₀, 95% confidence limits and regression equations of *Abroma augusta* extracts against *A. salina* nauplii. Where X is the log Dose and Y is the working probit of the analytical calculation.

Fractions	Exposure (h)	Regression equation	χ^2 value for heterogeneity	LD ₅₀ µg/mL	95% confidence limits	
					Lower	Upper
Petroleum ether	12	-	-	-	-	-
	18	Y = 1.524 + 0.875 X	0.287	9300.042	397.99	217.31
	24	Y = 1.959 + 0.997 X	1.367	1123.295	442.77	2849.73
Chloroform	30	Y = 1.745 + 1.247 X	4.640	407.113	256.24	646.80
	12	Y = 1.171 + 1.461 X	14.467	416.878	112.07	1550.59
	18	Y = 1.821 + 1.362 X	10.697	215.466	94.08	493.44
	24	Y = 2.013 + 1.425 X	4.208	124.717	87.72	177.30
Ethyl acetate	30	Y = 1.901 + 1.652 X	3.658	75.019	54.66	102.96
	12	Y = 2.878 + 0.701 X	1.024	1071.242	166.43	6894.83
	18	Y = 2.466 + 1.098 X	2.405	202.310	119.60	342.20
	24	Y = 2.156 + 1.463 X	3.246	87.646	62.41	123.06
Dia-ion resin	30	Y = 1.431 + 1.965 X	6.967	65.553	58.63	111.23
	12	Y = 2.103 + 0.679 X	0.391	18384.830	53.44	6324.85
	18	Y = 1.528 + 1.104 X	4.758	1391.716	532.51	3637.19
	24	Y = 1.542 + 1.317 X	11.171	421.572	200.24	887.54
	30	Y = 1.247 + 1.545 X	10.903	268.025	155.47	462.05

265
 266
 267