



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_13618
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of Computer Based Color Vision Deficiency Test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this manuscript, authors aimed to determine the sensitivity and specificity of computer based ISIHARA colour vision test for detecting RG-CVD. Some points: Is the subject's ophthalmologic ally normal in the study and are there any inclusion criteria? It was well written but the issue is not very interesting to read. There is already some computer programme for colour vision test on web -and also for smart phones- .	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Turkey