



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_13618
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of Computer Based Color Vision Deficiency Test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: Para 1-Line 6: Replace "is" with "are" Para 3-Line 2: Replace "what" with "That" Para 5-Line 1: remove comma after "Type I"</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The correct method of using Ishihara plates is not by the number of plates read correctly. The test has five sets of plates. Plate 1 is read by all, The second set is read differently by those with RG-CVD, the third set 8-13 are not read by those with CVD, the fourth set is seen as blank by normal individuals and read by those with CVD and the fifth set is again read diff by people with CVD. 2. It is therefore not understood how the author has counted the number of plates read correctly or incorrectly. This is an incorrect interpretation of the Ishihara charts. If an individual is normal, he should be able to read all plates from 8-13 correctly and not 17 plates overall as mentioned in the article. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>No mention has been made of lantern tests which have been widely used and are still used in some countries for color vision tests.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What is the utility of keeping the computer monitor running for half hour in a dark room? Did it have an ambient light sensor?2. The material and methods are correct except for the Ishihara interpretation as mentioned in compulsory revisions.3. The results are well brought out and supported by facts. References are adequate.4. Conclusions are supported by results but may have to be reworked if the method of ishihara chart interpretation is changed.	
----------------------------------	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India