



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10957
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determine Demographic Characteristics of Women Involvement on the Improved Methods of Groundnut Processing in Three (3) Local Government Areas of Niger State, Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title of the article is not does not seems right  <b>"Determine Demographic Characteristics of Women Involvement on the Improved Methods of Groundnut Processing in Three (3) Local Government Areas of Niger State, Nigeria.</b>                      The author may consider <b>"An assessment of demographic characteristics of women involved in groundnut processing in ....</b>                      This is because the author also make reference to those who are not using the improved methods.</li> <li>2. Normally abstract do not contain citations. Please kindly go through the abstract and take away all the citations</li> <li>3. Kindly take away line 8-11 in the abstract as they do not give good introductory message. Alternatively, you reframe it</li> <li>4. Line 13, two specific objectives should be mentioned.</li> <li>5. Line 15, the detail explanation on the method not necessary</li> <li>6. Lien 15 to 21 should be deleted start from point A</li> <li>7. Page 2 lien 47 is Ugwu and Abo (2009) a sentence. Please kindly check this</li> <li>8. Page 2 line 49, check the rating style</li> <li>9. Page 2 line 66, please kindly check the citation presented here</li> <li>10. Page 3 line 97, check the word "structure questionnaire"</li> <li>11. Page 3 line 98, is this a sentence " with the help of numerators" check the dot at the end of this statement.</li> <li>12. Page 4 line 133, check this statement " reduce cost of hired....."</li> </ol>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>13. Page 4 line 138, check 2007 which ?</li><li>14. Page 4 line 144 and 145 kindly check this statement "implication of this result implies..."</li><li>15. Page 4 line 147 Umar (2007) kindly check the dot after this citation</li><li>16. Page 4 line 165, reframe this sentence for clarity</li><li>17. Page 6, line 233 kindly review the first sentence for clarity</li><li>18. Page 6 line 235, what is the difference between credit facility and loan</li><li>19. Line 249 kindly review the sentence for clarity</li><li>20. Page 6 line 269, please what does this refer to *multiple response</li><li>21. Page 7 line 278 review this sentence for clarity</li><li>22. Lien 284 if the first sentences a recommendation? Kindly review this sentence.</li></ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ghana</b>